Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) Searches in Storage Rings

J. Pretz
RWTH Aachen/ FZ Jülich

Axion Workshop, Jülich, Oct. 2012

Outline

- Introduction & Motivation
- Measurement of charged particle EDMs
- Jülich efforts to measure EDMs
  (**J**ülich **E**lectric **D**ipole Moment **I**nvestigations (**JEDI**) collaboration)
- Summary

Introduction & Motivation

Electric Dipoles

Classical definition:

$$\vec{d} = \sum_i q_i \vec{r}_i$$



Order of magnitude

atomic physics:

$q_1 = -q_2 = e, \quad |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2| = 1\text{Å} = 10^{-10}\text{m}$

$$\rightarrow |\vec{d}| \quad = \quad 10^{-8} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$$

Water molecule: $d = 2 \cdot 10^{-9} e \cdot$ cm

Order of magnitude

atomic physics:

$q_1 = -q_2 = e, \quad |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2| = 1\text{Å} = 10^{-10}\text{m}$

$$\rightarrow |\vec{d}| = 10^{-8} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$$

Water molecule: $d = 2 \cdot 10^{-9} e\cdot$ cm

hadron physics:

$|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2| = 1\mathrm{fm} = 10^{-13}\text{cm}$

$$\rightarrow |\vec{d}| = 10^{-13} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$$

Limit on neutron EDM $< 3 \cdot 10^{-26} e\cdot$cm

Operator $\vec{d} = q\vec{r}$

is odd under parity transformation ($\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r}$):

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1}\vec{d}\mathcal{P} = -\vec{d}$$

Consequences:
In a state $|a>$ of given parity the expectation value is 0:

$$\left\langle a|\vec{d}|a\right\rangle = -\left\langle a|\vec{d}|a\right\rangle$$

If $|a>= \alpha|P = +> +\beta|P = ->$
in general $\left\langle a|\vec{d}|a\right\rangle \neq 0$

Order of magnitude

Molecules can have large EDM because of degenerate ground states with different parity

Order of magnitude

Molecules can have large EDM because of degenerate ground states with different parity





ground state: mixture of $\Psi_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Psi_1 + \Psi_2) \quad P = +$

$$\Psi_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Psi_1 - \Psi_2) \quad P = -$$

(allmost) degenerated states with different parity:

$|a >= \alpha|\Psi_s > +\beta|\Psi_a >$

(Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, F. Laloë, Mécanique quantique)

Order of magnitude

Molecules can have large EDM because of degenerate ground states with different parity

Elementary particles (including hadrons) have a definte parity and cannot posess an EDM
$P|\text{had}> = \pm 1|\text{had}>$

Order of magnitude

Molecules can have large EDM because of degenerate ground states with different parity

Elementary particles (including hadrons) have a definte parity and cannot posess an EDM
$P|\text{had}>=\pm 1|\text{had}>$

unless

$\mathcal{P}$ and time reversal $\mathcal{T}$ invariance are violated!

$\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ violation of EDM

$\vec{d}$: EDM
$\vec{\mu}$: magnetic moment
both || to spin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H &=& -\mu\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B} - d\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E} \\
\mathcal{T}: \quad & H &=& -\mu\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B} + d\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E} \\
\mathcal{P}: \quad & H &=& -\mu\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B} + d\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E}
\end{aligned}
$$



$\mathcal{T}$ violation $\overset{\mathcal{CPT}}{\rightarrow}$ $\mathcal{CP}$ violation

$\mathcal{CP}$ violation

- We are surounded by matter (and not anti–matter)
  $\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_\gamma} = 10^{-10}$
- Starting from equal amount of matter and anti-matter at the Big Bang, from $\mathcal{CP}$-violation in Standard Model we expected only 10^{-18}
- In 1967 Sakharov formulated three prerequisites for baryogenesis. One of these is the combined violation of the charge and parity, $\mathcal{CP}$, symmetry.
- New $\mathcal{CP}$ violating sources outside the realm of the SM are clearly needed to explain this discrepancy of eight orders of magnitude.
- They could manifest in EDMs of elementary particles

Sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation

- Weak Interaction (unobservably small in EDMs)
- QCD θ term (limit set by neutron EDM measurement)

  ——— Part of Standard Model ———
- sources beyond SM

Sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation



Sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation



⇒ It is mandatory to measure EDM of many different particles to disentangle various sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation.

What do we know about (hadron) EDMs?

Particle/Atom	Current Limit/e·cm
Neutron	$< 3 \cdot 10^{-26}$
^{199}Hg	$< 3.1 \cdot 10^{-29}$
$\rightarrow$ Proton	$< 7.9 \cdot 10^{-25}$
Deuteron	?
^{3}He	?

- direct measurement only for neutron
- proton deduced from atomic EDM limit
- no measurement for deuteron (or other nuclei)

What do we know about (hadron) EDMs?

Particle/Atom	Current Limit/e·cm
Neutron	$< 3 \cdot 10^{-26}$
^{199}Hg	$< 3.1 \cdot 10^{-29}$
$\rightarrow$ Proton	$< 7.9 \cdot 10^{-25}$
Deuteron	?
^{3}He	?

- direct measurement only for neutron
- proton deduced from atomic EDM limit
- no measurement for deuteron (or other nuclei)

GOAL of JEDI collaboration:
First measurement of deuteron, ^{3}He EDM,
first direct measurement of proton EDM ultimately with a precision of $10^{-29}\, e\,\text{cm}$

History of Neutron EDM



from K. Kirch

50 years of effort

Extensions of SM allow for large EDMs

History of Neutron EDM



from K. Kirch

50 years of effort

Extensions of SM allow for large EDMs

charged particle EDMs:
two (parasitic) measurements:

$d_\mu < 1.9 \cdot 10^{-19} e{\cdot}\mathrm{cm}$

G. W. Bennett PRD **80** (2009) 052008

$d_\lambda = -3.0 \pm 7.4 \cdot 10^{-16}\ e{\cdot}\mathrm{cm}$

L. Pondron et al. PRD, Vol. 23 (1981) 814

Measurement of charged particle EDMs

Measurement of charged particle EDMs

General Idea:

For **all** edm experiments (neutron, proton, atom, ...):

Interaction of $\vec{d}$ with electric field $\vec{E}$

For charged particles: apply electric field in a storage ring:

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} \propto \vec{E} \times \vec{d}$$



Wait for build-up of vertical polarization $s_\perp \propto |d|$, then determine $s_\perp$ using polarimeter

In general: $\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{s}$

"Thomas-BMT" formula

$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}[G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right)\vec{v} \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})]$$

$$\vec{d} = \eta \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\vec{S}, \quad \vec{\mu} = 2(G+1)\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{S}, \; G = \frac{g-2}{2}, \; g\text{:}g\text{−factor}$$

Several Options:

"Thomas-BMT" formula

$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}[G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\right)\vec{v}\times\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\vec{E} + \vec{v}\times\vec{B})]$$

$\vec{d} = \eta\frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\vec{S}$, $\quad \vec{\mu} = 2(G+1)\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{S}$, $G = \frac{g-2}{2}$, g:g−factor

Several Options:

1. **Pure electric ring**
   with $\left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right) = 0$, works only for $G > 0$

"Thomas-BMT" formula

$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}\left[G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\right)\vec{v}\times\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\vec{E} + \vec{v}\times\vec{B})\right]$$

$\vec{d} = \eta \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\vec{S}$, $\quad \vec{\mu} = 2(G+1)\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{S}$, $G = \frac{g-2}{2}$, g:g−factor

Several Options:

1. **Pure electric ring**
   with $\left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right) = 0$, works only for $G > 0$
2. **Combined $\vec{E}/\vec{B}$ ring**
   $G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right)\vec{v}\times\vec{E} = 0$

"Thomas-BMT" formula

$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}\left[G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\right)\vec{v}\times\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\vec{E} + \vec{v}\times\vec{B})\right]$$

$\vec{d} = \eta \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\vec{S}$, $\quad \vec{\mu} = 2(G+1)\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{S}$, $G = \frac{g-2}{2}$, g:g−factor

Several Options:

1. **Pure electric ring**

   with $\left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\right) = 0$, works only for $G > 0$

2. **Combined $\vec{E}/\vec{B}$ ring**

   $$G\vec{B} + \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\right)\vec{v}\times\vec{E} = 0$$

3. **Pure magnetic ring**

Required field strength

	$G = \frac{g-2}{2}$	p/GeV/c	E_R/MV/m	B_V/T
proton	1.79	0.701	10	0
deuteron	-0.14	1.0	-4	0.16
^{3}He	-4.18	1.285	17	-0.05

Ring radius $\approx$ 40m
Smaller ring size possible if $B_V \neq 0$ for proton

$$E = \frac{GBc\beta\gamma^2}{1 + G\beta^2\gamma^2}$$

1. Pure Electric Ring



Figure 3: An all-electric storage ring lattice for measuring the electric dipole moment of the proton. Except for having longer straight sections and separated beam channels, the all-in-one lattice of Fig. 1 is patterned after this lattice. Quadrupole and sextupole families, and tunes and lattice functions of the all-in-one lattice of Fig. 1 will be quite close to those given for this lattice in reference[3]. The match will be even closer with magnetic field set to zero for proton operation.

Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) Proposal

2. Combined $\vec{E}/\vec{B}$ ring



Figure 1: "All-In-One" lattice for measuring EDM's of protons, deuterons, and helions.

Under discussion in Jülich (design: R. Talman)

3. Pure Magnetic Ring

Main advantage:
Experiment can be performed at the existing (upgraded) COSY (COoler SYnchrotron) in Jülich on a shorter time scale!

COSY provides (polarized) protons and deuterons with $p = 0.3 - 3.7\text{GeV}/c \Rightarrow$ **Ideal starting point**

3. Pure Magnetic Ring

$$\Omega = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}\left(G\vec{B} + \frac{1}{2}\eta\vec{v}\times\vec{B}\right)$$

Problem:
Due to precession caused by magnetic moment, 50% of time longitudinal polarization component is || to momentum, 50% of the time it is anti-||.



3. Pure Magnetic Ring

$$\Omega = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}\left(G\vec{B} + \frac{1}{2}\eta\vec{v}\times\vec{B}\right)$$

Problem:
Due to precession caused by magnetic moment, 50% of time longitudinal polarization component is || to momentum, 50% of the time it is anti-||.



E^* field in the particle rest frame tilts spin due to EDM up and down
$\Rightarrow$ **no net EDM effect**

3. Pure Magnetic Ring

$$\Omega = \frac{e\hbar}{mc}\left(G\vec{B} + \frac{1}{2}\eta\vec{v}\times\vec{B}\right)$$

Problem:
Due to precession caused by magnetic moment, 50% of time longitudinal polarization component is $||$ to momentum, 50% of the time it is anti-$||$.



E^* field in the particle rest frame tilts spin due to EDM up and down
$\Rightarrow$ **no net EDM effect**

Use resonant "magic Wien-Filter" in ring ($\vec{E} + \vec{v}\times\vec{B} = 0$):
$E^* = 0 \rightarrow$ part. trajectory is not affected but
$B^* \neq 0 \rightarrow$ mag. mom. is influenced

$\Rightarrow$ **net EDM effect can be observed!**

Summary of different options

	☺	☹
1.) pure electric ring (BNL)	no $\vec{B}$ field needed	works only for p
2.) combined ring (Jülich)	works for p, d, ^{3}He, ...	both $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ required
3.) pure magnetic ring (Jülich)	existing (upgraded) COSY ring can be used , shorter time scale	lower sensitivity

Statistical Sensitivity

$$\sigma \approx \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{NfT\tau_p}PEA}$$

P	beam polarization	0.8
τ_p	Spin coherence time/s	1000
E	Electric field/MV/m	10
A	Analyzing Power	0.6
N	nb. of stored particles/cycle	4×10^7
f	detection efficiency	0.005
T	running time per year/s	10^7

$\Rightarrow \sigma \approx 10^{-29}$ e·cm/year (for magnetic ring $\approx 10^{-24}$ e·cm/year)
Expected signal $\approx$ 3nrad/s (for $d = 10^{-29}$ e·cm)
(BNL proposal)

Systematics

One major source:
Radial B field mimics an EDM effect:

- Difficulty: even small radial magnetic field, B_r can mimic EDM effect if :$\mu B_r \approx dE_r$
- Suppose $d = 10^{-29} e\cdot$cm in a field of $E = 10$MV/m
- This corresponds to a magnetic field:
  $$B_r = \frac{dE_r}{\mu_N} = \frac{10^{-22} e\mathrm{V}}{3.1 \cdot 10^{-8} e\mathrm{V}/\mathrm{T}} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-17}\mathrm{T}$$
  (Earth Magnetic field $\approx 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ T)

Solution: Use two beams running clockwise and counter clockwise, separation of the two beams is sensitive to B_r

Main Challenges

- Spin Coherence Time (SCT)$\approx$ 1000s
- Polarimetry on 1 ppm level (ppm = part per million)
- Beam positioning $\approx$ 10nm (relative between CW-CCW)
- Field Gradients $\approx$ 10MV/m

Spin Coherence Time (SCT)

Usally we dont care about decoherence of spins



because polarisation with respect to invariant spin axis $\vec{n}$ is the same.

Situation is different if $\vec{S} \perp \vec{n}$



Longitudinal Polarization is lost.

Results on Spin Coherence Time (SCT)



Spins decohere during storage time

very preliminary results form Cosy run May 2012 using correction sextupole

⇒ SCT increase from a few s to ≈ 200s already reached

(Ed. Stephenson)

Polarimeter

Principle: Particles hit a target:
Left/Right asymmetry gives information on EDM
Up/Down asymmetry gives information on g-2



Polarimeter



Cross Section &
Analyzing Power
for deuterons

Polarimeter

Available at COSY for tests:
EDDA polarimeter



Jülich efforts to measure EDMs

Stepwise approach of JEDI project in Jülich

JEDI = **J**ülich **E**lectric **D**ipole Moment **I**nvestigations

1	Spin coherence time studies Systematic Error studies
2	COSY upgrade first direct measurement at $10^{-24}e\cdot$ cm
3	Build dedicated ring for p,d and ^{3}He
4	EDM measurement at $10^{-29}e\cdot$ cm

Storage Ring EDM Efforts

Common R&D work

- Spin Coherence Time
- BPMs
- Spin Tracking
- Polarimetry
- ...

BNL

- all electric ring (p)

Jülich

- first direct measuremet with upgraded COSY
- all-in-one ring (p,d,^{3}He)

JARA FAME

JARA=Jülich Aachen Research Alliance

New section founded: FAME (=Forces and Matter Experiments)



Summary

- EDM of various hadrons species are of high interest to disentangle various sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation searched for to explain matter - antimatter asymmetry in the Universe
- Up to now only direct measurement for neutron
- EDM of charged particles can be measured in storage rings
- Experimentally very challenging because effect is tiny
- Efforts at Brookhaven and Jülich to perform such measurements