Electric Dipole Moments of Hadrons and Light Nuclei

LEPON 2017 | Mainz | May 10, 2017 | Andreas Wirzba



CP violation and the Electric Dipole Moment (EDM)





Any *non-vanishing EDM* of a **non-degenerate** (e.g. subatomic) particle violates **P** & **T**

- Assuming CPT to hold, CP is violated as well (flavor-diagonally)
 → subatomic EDMs: "rear window" to CP violation in early universe
- Strongly suppressed in SM (CKM-matrix): $|d_n| \sim 10^{-31} e \text{ cm}$, $|d_e| \sim 10^{-38} e \text{ cm}$
- Current bounds: $|d_n| < 3^{\circ}/1.6^* \cdot 10^{-26} e \text{ cm}, |d_p| < 2 \cdot 10^{-25} e \text{ cm}, |d_e| < 1 \cdot 10^{-28} e \text{ cm}$

n: Baker et al.(2006)^o, *p* prediction: Dimitriev & Sen'kov (2003)^{*}, *e*: Baron et al.(2013)[†] * from $|d_{199}_{Hg}| < 7.4 \cdot 10^{-30} e \text{ cm}$ bound of Graner et al. (2016) [†] from polar ThO: $|d_{ThO}| \lesssim 10^{-21} e \text{ cm}$



A naive estimate of the scale of the nucleon EDM

Khriplovich & Lamoreaux (1997); Kolya Nikolaev (2012)

CP & P conserving magnetic moment ~ nuclear magneton μ_N

$$\mu_N = \frac{e}{2m_p} \sim 10^{-14} e\,\mathrm{cm}\,.$$

A nonzero EDM requires

parity P violation: the price to pay is $\sim 10^{-7}$

 $(G_F \cdot F_{\pi}^2 \sim 10^{-7} \text{ with } G_F \approx 1.166 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^{-2}),$

and additionally **CP violation**: the price to pay is ~ 10^{-3} $(|\eta_{+-}| \equiv |\mathcal{A}(K_L^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)| / |\mathcal{A}(K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)| = (2.232 \pm 0.011) \cdot 10^{-3}).$

- In summary: $|d_N| \sim 10^{-7} \times 10^{-3} \times \mu_N \sim 10^{-24} e \text{ cm}$
- In SM (without θ term): extra $G_F F_{\pi}^2$ factor to *undo* flavor change

$$\Rightarrow |d_N^{\rm SM}| \sim 10^{-7} \times 10^{-24} e \, {\rm cm} \sim 10^{-31} e \, {\rm cm}$$

 $\Rightarrow The empirical window for search of physics BSM(θ=0) is$ 10⁻²⁴ e cm > |d_N| > 10⁻³⁰ e cm.



Search for EDMs of charged particles in storage rings

General idea:

Andreas



Initially longitudinally polarized particles interact with radial \vec{E} field \Rightarrow build-up of vertical polarization (measured with a polarimeter)

The spin precession relative to the momentum direction is given by the Thomas-BMT equation (for $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$, $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$, $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S^*}{\mathrm{d}t} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{S^*} \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m} \left(a\vec{B} + \left(\beta^{-2} - 1 - a \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} + \eta \left(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \right) \right)$$

and $\vec{\mu} = (1 + a) \frac{e}{2m} \vec{S} / S$ and $\vec{d} = \eta \frac{e}{2m} \vec{S} / S$
Wirzba



Method 1: pure electrostatic ring

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m} \left(\underbrace{\vec{A} \vec{E}}_{:=0, \text{"Frozen spin method"}}^{\mathbb{Z}} + \eta (\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}) \right) \longrightarrow -\frac{e}{m} \eta \vec{E}$$

only possible for a > 0, *i.e.* for p and ³H (or ¹⁹F), but not for d or ³He



Advantages:

- no magnetic field
- counter rotating beams possible

Disadvantage:

- not possible for deuterons ($a_D < 0$)

srEDM BNL / KAIST Korea (≥ 2000): design for *E*-ring for protons



Method 2: combined electric & magnetic ring

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m} \left(\underbrace{a\vec{B} + (\beta^{-2} - 1 - a)\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}_{:=0, \text{ "Frozen spin method"}} + \eta(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}) \right) \rightarrow -\frac{e}{m}\eta(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B})$$



Advantage:

– works for p, deuterons and ³He

Disadvantages:

- requires also magnetic fields
- two beam pipes
- magnetic coils made of copper

JEDI Jülich/Aachen (≥ 2011): design for *E*/*B* ring



Method 3: pure magnetic ring

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m} \left(\underbrace{\vec{aB}}_{\text{precession in beam plane}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - 1 - a\right)\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}_{\text{beam plane}} + \eta(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}) + \underbrace{\text{Wien filter: accumulation}}_{\text{accumulation}} \right)$$



polarized p and D with p = (0.3–3.7) GeV/c

of vertical spin

Advantage:

- existing COSY accelerator
- → precursor experiment:

First attempt for *direct* measurement of an EDM of a charged hadron

Disadvantage:

- low sensitivity
- $\gtrsim 10^{-21}$ – $10^{-24}e$ cm JEDI at COSY

Recent Achievements PRL 115 ('15), 117 ('16):

- Spin tune $\bar{\nu}_s$ = -0.16097... ± 10⁻¹⁰ in 100 s
- Spin coherence time τ > 1000 $\rm s$
- Spin feedback (pol. vector within 12°)













Andreas Wirzba















New interactions as higher dimensional operators

Add to the SM all possible effective interactions



The new interactions appear as higher dimensional operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eft}} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{5}^{(i)}}{M_{\gamma}} \mathcal{O}_{5}^{(i)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{6}^{(i)}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{6}^{(i)} + \dots$$

where M_{γ} is the scale of the *New Physics* particles

- Only the lowest dimensional operators should be important
- Hadronic EDMs: non-leptonic CP-violating operators of dim. 6 Not of dim. 5 because of Higgs insertion / chiral symm. at EW/low scales



How to handle CP-violating sources beyond the SM? Evaluation in Effective Field Theory (EFT) approach

- All degrees of freedom beyond NP (EW) scale are integrated out:
 - \hookrightarrow Only SM degrees of freedom remain: $q, g, (H, Z, W^{\pm}, ...)$
- Write down *all* interactions for these *active* degrees of freedom that respect the SM+ Lorentz symmetries: here dim. 6 or higher order
- Need a power-counting scheme to order these infinite # interactions
- Relics of eliminated BSM physics 'remembered' by the values of the low-energy constants (LECs) of the CP-violating contact terms, e.g.





CP-violating BSM sources of dimension 6 from above EW scale

to their hadronic equivalents below 1 GeV

W. Dekens & J. de Vries JHEP '13





Road map from EDM Measurements to EDM Sources

Experimentalist's point of view \rightarrow

← Theorist's point of view





EDM Translator from 'quarkish/machine' to 'hadronic/human' language?





EDM Translator from 'quarkish/machine' to 'hadronic/human' language?



Symmetries (esp. chiral one) plus Goldstone Theorem Low-Energy Effective Field Theory with External Sources *i.e.* Chiral Perturbation Theory (suitably extended)

Andreas Wirzba

 \rightarrow



Scalings of CP hadronic vertices – from θ to BSM sources

5 discriminable cases: Mereghetti et al., AP325 ('10); de Vries et al., PRC84('11); Bsaisou et al., EPJA49('13)



*) Goldstone theorem \rightarrow relative $\mathcal{O}(M_{\pi}^2/m_n^2)$ suppression of $N\pi$ interactions Andreas Wirzba



, p'

Calculation: from form factors to EDMs

$$\langle f(p')|J_{\text{em}}^{\mu}|f(p)\rangle = \bar{u}_{f}(p') \Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2}) u_{f}(p)$$

$$q \qquad q^{2} = (p - p')^{2}$$

$$p$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2}) = \gamma^{\mu}F_{1}(q^{2}) - i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\frac{F_{2}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} + \sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\frac{F_{3}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} + (\not q q^{\mu} - q^{2}\gamma^{\mu})\gamma_{5}\frac{F_{a}(q^{2})}{m_{f}^{2}}$$

$$\text{Dirac FF} \qquad \text{Pauli FF} \qquad \text{electric dipole FF (QP)} \qquad \text{anapole FF (P)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d_{f} := \lim_{q^{2} \to 0} \frac{F_{3}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} \qquad \text{for } s = 1/2 \text{ fermion}$$



 $\swarrow^{p'}$

Calculation: from form factors to EDMs

$$\langle f(p')|J_{em}^{\mu}|f(p)\rangle = \bar{u}_{f}(p')\Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2})u_{f}(p)$$

$$q \qquad q^{2} = (p - p')^{2}$$

$$p$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(q^{2}) = \gamma^{\mu}F_{1}(q^{2}) - i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\frac{F_{2}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} + \sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\frac{F_{3}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} + (\oint q^{\mu} - q^{2}\gamma^{\mu})\gamma_{5}\frac{F_{a}(q^{2})}{m_{f}^{2}}$$

$$Dirac FF \qquad Pauli FF \qquad electric dipole FF (QP) \qquad anapole FF (P)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d_{f} := \lim_{q^{2} \to 0} \frac{F_{3}(q^{2})}{2m_{f}} \qquad \text{for } s = 1/2 \text{ fermion}$$

$$Nucleus A$$

$$(\uparrow | J_{PT}^{0}(q) | \uparrow)$$

$$in Breit frame$$

$$\int_{PT}^{Q} \frac{\xi \bar{q}}{2m_{f}} = (\downarrow J_{PT} - \uparrow) + (\downarrow J_{PT} - \uparrow) = -iq^{3} \underbrace{\frac{F_{3}^{A}(\bar{q}^{2})}{2m_{A}}}_{\rightarrow dA}$$



θ-Term Induced Nucleon EDM

Baluni, PRD (1979); Crewther et al., PLB (1979); ... Pich & de Rafael, NPB (1991); ... Ottnad et al., PLB (2010)

Isospin-conserving πNN coupling:

$$g_0^{\theta} = \frac{(m_n - m_p)^{\text{strong}}(1 - \epsilon^2)}{4F_{\pi}\epsilon} \bar{\theta} \approx (-15.5 \pm 1.9) \cdot 10^{-3} \bar{\theta} \quad (\text{where } \epsilon \equiv \frac{m_u - m_d}{m_u + m_d})$$

$$ightarrow d_N \big|_{loop}^{isovector} \sim (1.8 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-16} \,\overline{\theta} \,\mathrm{e\,cm}$$

Bsaisou et al., EPJA 49 (2013), JHEP 03 (2015)

Note also:
$$g_1^{\theta} = 8c_1 m_N \Delta^{\theta} + (0.6 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-3} \bar{\theta} = (3.4 \pm 1.5) \cdot 10^{-3} \bar{\theta}$$
 with the 3-pion coupling: $\Delta^{\theta} = \frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon^2)}{16F_{\pi}m_N} \frac{M_{\pi}^4}{M_K^2 - M_{\pi}^2} \bar{\theta} + \dots = (-0.37 \pm 0.09) \cdot 10^{-3} \bar{\theta}$

single nucleon EDM:





Preliminary Lattice (full QCD) results





Preliminary Lattice (full QCD) results



 $\hookrightarrow d_{n} = \bar{\theta} \left(-2.7 \pm 1.2 \right) \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot e \, \text{fm} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{p} = \bar{\theta} \left(2.1 \pm 1.2 \right) \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot e \, \text{fm}$ Akan, Guo & Meißner, *PLB* 736 (2014); see also $d_{n} = \bar{\theta} \left(-3.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.9 \right) 10^{-3} e \, \text{fm}$ Guo et al., *PRL* 115 (2015)

Andreas Wirzba



Preliminary Lattice (full QCD) results



 $\bar{\theta} \equiv 1$! Eigo Shintani et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 094503 (2016) M_{π} = 170, 330, 420, 530 MeV

Don't mention the ... light nuclei



Single Nucleon Versus Nuclear EDM

Baluni, PRD (1979); Crewther et al., PLB (1979); ... Pich & de Rafael, NPB (1991); ... Ottnad et al., PLB (2010)

single nucleon EDM:



Andreas Wirzba



Single Nucleon Versus Nuclear EDM

Baluni, PRD (1979); Crewther et al., PLB (1979); ... Pich & de Rafael, NPB (1991); ... Ottnad et al., PLB (2010)

single nucleon EDM:





EDM of the Deuteron at LO: CP-violating π exchange

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{QP}}^{\pi N} = -d_n N^{\dagger} (1 - \tau^3) S^{\mu} v^{\nu} N F_{\mu\nu} - d_p N^{\dagger} (1 + \tau_3) S^{\mu} v^{\nu} N F_{\mu\nu} + (m_N \Delta) \pi^2 \pi_3 + g_0 N^{\dagger} \pi \cdot \tau N + g_1 N^{\dagger} \pi_3 N + \underbrace{C_1 N^{\dagger} N \mathcal{D}_{\mu} (N^{\dagger} S^{\mu} N)}_{\downarrow} + \underbrace{C_2 N^{\dagger} \tau N \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mu} (N^{\dagger} \tau S^{\mu} N)}_{\downarrow} + \cdots .$$

$$\underbrace{3S_1 \qquad 1}_{(\vartheta_{D_i})} \qquad 10: \quad g_0 N^{\dagger} \pi \cdot \tau N \quad (\mathcal{QP}, I) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (Isospin filter!)} \\ \text{NLO:} \quad g_1 N^{\dagger} \pi_3 N \quad (\mathcal{QP}, I) \rightarrow "LO" \text{ in D case}$$

	term	N ² LO χ PT	$N^4LO \chi PT$	A <i>v</i> ₁₈	CD-Bonn	units
	d_n^D	0.939(9)	0.936(8)	0.914	0.927	dn
	d_p^D	0.939(9)	0.936(8)	0.914	0.927	d _p
\rightarrow	g 1	0.183(17)	0.182(2)	0.186	0.186	<i>g</i> ₁ <i>e</i> fm
	$\rightarrow \Delta f_{g_1}$	-0.748(138)	-0.646(23)	-0.703	-0.719	Δe fm

Bsaisou et al., JHEP 03 (2015); A.W., Bsaisou, Nogga, IJMP E26 (2017)

BSM \mathcal{CP} sources: $g_1 \pi NN$ vertex is of LO in qCEDM and 4qLR case

Andreas Wirzba

(³Г

 $(\Lambda_{1,S}, \Lambda_{SFR}) = \{(0.45, 0.5); (0.6, 0.5); (0.55, 0.6); (0.45, 0.7); (0.6, 0.7)\}$ GeV



EDM of the Deuteron at LO: CP-violating π exchange

Andreas Wirzba $(\Lambda_{LS}, \Lambda_{SFR}) = \{(0.45, 0.5); (0.6, 0.5); (0.55, 0.6); (0.45, 0.7); (0.6, 0.7)\}$ GeV rew



³He EDM: results for CP-violating π exchange



 $g_0 N^{\dagger} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} N$ (CP, I) $g_1 N^{\dagger} \pi_3 N$ (CP, I) LO: *θ*-term, qCEDM LO: qCEDM, 4qLR N²LO: 4qLR

NLO: θ term



³He EDM: results for CP-violating π exchange



 $g_0 N^{\dagger} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} N$ (CP, I) $g_1 N^{\dagger} \pi_3 N$ (CP, I) LO: *θ*-term, *q*CEDM LO: *q*CEDM, 4*q*LR N²LO: 4gLR

NLO: θ term

term	A	N ² LO ChPT	Av ₁₈ +UIX	CD-Bonn+TM	units
d _n	³ He	0.904 ± 0.013	0.875	0.902	dn
	³ H	-0.030 ± 0.007	-0.051	-0.038	
d _p	³ He	-0.029 ± 0.006	-0.050	-0.037	dp
	³ Н	0.918 ± 0.013	0.902	0.876	
Δ	³ He	-0.017 ± 0.006	-0.015	-0.019	Δefm
	³ H	-0.017 ± 0.006	-0.015	-0.018	
g_0	³ He	0.111 ± 0.013	0.073	0.087	g ₀ efm
	³ H	-0.108 ± 0.013	-0.073	-0.085	
<i>g</i> ₁	³ He	0.142 ± 0.019	0.142	0.146	g ₁ efm
	³ Н	0.139 ± 0.019	0.142	0.144	
Δf_{g_1}	³ He	-0.608 ± 0.142	-0.556	-0.586	$\Delta e fm$
	³ Н	-0.598 ± 0.141	-0.564	-0.576	
C1	³ He	-0.042 ± 0.017	-0.0014	-0.016	$C_1 e fm^{-2}$
	³ H	0.041 ± 0.016	0.0014	0.016	
C ₂	³ He	0.089 ± 0.022	0.0042	0.033	$C_2 e \text{fm}^{-2}$
	³ H	-0.087 ± 0.022	-0.0044	-0.032	

Andreas Wirzba

Bsaisou, dissertation, Univ. Bonn (2014); Bsaisou et al., JHEP 03 (2015)



³*He* EDM: results for CP-violating π exchange

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{CP}}^{\pi N} = -d_{n}N^{\dagger}(1-\tau^{3})S^{\mu}v^{\nu}NF_{\mu\nu} - d_{p}N^{\dagger}(1+\tau_{3})S^{\mu}v^{\nu}NF_{\mu\nu} + (m_{N}\Delta)\pi^{2}\pi_{3} + g_{0}N^{\dagger}\vec{\pi}\cdot\vec{\tau}N + g_{1}N^{\dagger}\pi_{3}N + C_{1}N^{\dagger}N\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(N^{\dagger}S^{\mu}N) + C_{2}N^{\dagger}\vec{\tau}N\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(N^{\dagger}\vec{\tau}S^{\mu}N) + \cdots$$

term	Α	N ² LO ChPT	Av ₁₈ +UIX	CD-Bonn+TM	units
dn	³ He	0.904 ± 0.013	0.875	0.902	dn
	³ H	-0.030 ± 0.007	-0.051	-0.038	
d _p	³ He	-0.029 ± 0.006	-0.050	-0.037	dp
	³ Н	0.918 ± 0.013	0.902	0.876	
Δ	³ He	-0.017 ± 0.006	-0.015	-0.019	$\Delta e fm$
	³ H	-0.017 ± 0.006	-0.015	-0.018	
g_0	³ He	0.111 ± 0.013	0.073	0.087	g ₀ efm
	³ Н	-0.108 ± 0.013	-0.073	-0.085	
<i>g</i> ₁	³ He	0.142 ± 0.019	0.142	0.146	g ₁ efm
	³ Н	0.139 ± 0.019	0.142	0.144	
Δf_{g_1}	³ He	-0.608 ± 0.142	-0.556	-0.586	Δ <i>e</i> fm
	³ Н	-0.598 ± 0.141	-0.564	-0.576	
C1	³ He	-0.042 ± 0.017	-0.0014	-0.016	$C_1 e {\rm fm}^{-2}$
	³ Н	0.041 ± 0.016	0.0014	0.016	
C ₂	³ He	0.089 ± 0.022	0.0042	0.033	$C_2 e {\rm fm}^{-2}$
	³ Н	-0.087 ± 0.022	-0.0044	-0.032	

Andreas Wirzba

 $(\Lambda_{LS},\Lambda_{SFR}) = \{(0.45,0.5); (0.6,0.5); (0.55,0.6); (0.45,0.7); (0.6,0.7)\} \, \text{GeV}$

20 25



Discriminating between three scenarios at 1 GeV

1 The Standard Model + $\bar{\theta}$

Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

 $\mathcal{L}^{\theta}_{\mathsf{SM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} + \bar{\theta} m_q^* \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q$

2 The left-right symmetric model — with two 4-quark operators: $\mathcal{L}_{LR} = -i \equiv \left[1.1(\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu d_L) + 1.4(\bar{u}_R t^a \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{d}_L t^a \gamma^\mu d_L) \right] + \text{h.c.}$

3 The aligned two-Higgs-doublet model — with the dipole operators: $\mathcal{L}_{a2HM} = -e\frac{d_d}{2} \, \bar{d} \, i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 d F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\tilde{d}_d}{4} \, \bar{d} \, i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 \lambda^a d \, G^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{d_W}{3} \, f_{abc} \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} G^b_{\mu\rho} \, G^{c\rho}_{\nu}$ — with the hierarchy $\tilde{d}_d \simeq 4d_d \simeq 20d_W$

matched on

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}P'EFT}^{\pi N} = -d_n N^{\dagger} (1 - \tau^3) S^{\mu} v^{\nu} N F_{\mu\nu} - d_p N^{\dagger} (1 + \tau_3) S^{\mu} v^{\nu} N F_{\mu\nu} + (m_N \Delta) \pi^2 \pi_3 + g_0 N^{\dagger} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} N + g_1 N^{\dagger} \pi_3 N + C_1 N^{\dagger} N \mathcal{D}_{\mu} (N^{\dagger} S^{\mu} N) + C_2 N^{\dagger} \vec{\tau} N \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mu} (N^{\dagger} \vec{\tau} S^{\mu} N) + \cdots .$$

Andreas Wirzba



Discriminating between three scenarios at 1 GeV

1 The Standard Model + $\bar{\theta}$

Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

 $\mathcal{L}^{\theta}_{\mathsf{SM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} + \bar{\theta} m_q^* \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q$

2 The left-right symmetric model — with two 4-quark operators: $\mathcal{L}_{LR} = -i \equiv \left[1.1(\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu d_L) + 1.4(\bar{u}_R t^a \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{d}_L t^a \gamma^\mu d_L) \right] + \text{h.c.}$

3 The aligned two-Higgs-doublet model — with the dipole operators: $\mathcal{L}_{a2HM} = -e\frac{d_d}{2} \, \bar{d} \, i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 d F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\tilde{d}_d}{4} \, \bar{d} \, i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 \lambda^a d \, G^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{d_W}{3} \, f_{abc} \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} G^b_{\mu\rho} \, G^{c\rho}_{\nu}$ — with the hierarchy $\tilde{d}_d \simeq 4d_d \simeq 20d_W$





Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the helion and neutron EDMs



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the helion and neutron EDMs

 $d_{^{3}\text{He}} - 0.9d_{n} = -\bar{\theta} \left(1.01 \pm 0.31_{\text{had}} \pm 0.29_{\text{nucl}}^{\star}\right) \cdot 10^{-16} e \,\text{cm}$

Extraction of $\bar{\theta}$

*includes ±0.20 uncertainty from 2N contact terms



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the helion and neutron EDMs

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}} - 0.9d_{n} = -\bar{\theta} \left(1.01 \pm 0.31_{\text{had}} \pm 0.29_{\text{nucl}}^{\star}\right) \cdot 10^{-16} e \text{ cm}$$

Extraction of $\bar{\theta}$

 $d_D - 0.94 (d_n + d_p) = \bar{\theta} \left(0.89 \pm 0.29_{\rm had} \pm 0.08_{\rm nucl} \right) \cdot 10^{-16} e\,{\rm cm}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Prediction for } d_D - 0.94 \big(d_n + d_p \big) \\ \mbox{(\& triton EDM): } d_D^{\rm Nucl} \approx - d_{^3{\rm He}}^{\rm Nucl} \approx \frac{1}{2} d_{^3{\rm H}}^{\rm Nucl} \end{array}$

*includes ±0.20 uncertainty from 2N contact terms



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the helion and neutron EDMs

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}} - 0.9d_{n} = -\bar{\theta} \left(1.01 \pm 0.31_{\text{had}} \pm 0.29_{\text{nucl}}^{\star} \right) \cdot 10^{-16} e \text{ cm}$$

Extraction of $\bar{\theta}$

 $g_1^{\theta}/g_0^{\theta} \approx -0.2$

 $d_D - 0.94 (d_n + d_p) = \bar{\theta} \left(0.89 \pm 0.29_{\rm had} \pm 0.08_{\rm nucl} \right) \cdot 10^{-16} e\,{\rm cm}$

Prediction for $d_D - 0.94(d_n + d_p)$ (& triton EDM): $d_D^{\text{Nucl}} \approx -d_{^{3}\text{He}}^{\text{Nucl}} \approx \frac{1}{2}d_{^{3}\text{H}}^{\text{Nucl}}$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{g}_{0}^{\theta} &= \frac{(m_{n}-m_{p})^{\text{strong}}(1-\epsilon^{2})}{4F_{\pi}\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\bar{\theta}} = (-16\pm2)10^{-3}\boldsymbol{\bar{\theta}} \\ \frac{g_{1}^{\theta}}{g_{0}^{\theta}} &\approx \frac{8c_{1}(M_{\pi\pm}^{2}-M_{\pi0}^{2})^{\text{strong}}}{(m_{n}-m_{p})^{\text{strong}}} , \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{m_{u}-m_{d}}{m_{u}+m_{d}} \end{split}$$

*includes ±0.20 uncertainty from 2N contact terms

Andreas Wirzba



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the deuteron and nucleon EDMs



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the deuteron and nucleon EDMs

 $d_D - 0.94(d_n + d_p) \simeq d_D = -(2.1 \pm 0.5^{\star})\Delta^{LR}e\,\mathrm{fm}$

Extraction of Δ^{LR}

*includes ±0.1 uncertainty from 2N contact terms



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)



*includes ±0.1 uncertainty from 2N contact terms



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)





Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the deuteron and nucleon EDMs



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the deuteron and nucleon EDMs

 $d_D - 0.94(d_n + d_p) = [(0.18 \pm 0.02)g_1 - (0.75 \pm 0.14)\Delta]e$ fm

Extraction of g_1^{eff} (including Δ correction)



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)

Measurement of the deuteron and nucleon EDMs

 $d_D - 0.94(d_n + d_p) = [(0.18 \pm 0.02)g_1 - (0.75 \pm 0.14)\Delta]e$ fm

Extraction of g_1^{eff} (including Δ correction)

+ Measurement of $d_{^{3}\text{He}}$ (or $d_{^{3}\text{H}}$)



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)



24 25



Dekens et al. JHEP 07 (2014); Bsaisou et al. JHEP 03 (2015)



Andreas Wirzba



Summary

- D EDM might distinguish between θ

 and other scenarios and allows extraction of the g₁ coupling constant via d_D − 0.94(d_n+d_p). (The prefactor of (d_n+d_p) stands for a 4% probability of the ³D₁ state.)
- ³*He* (or ³*H*) EDM necessary for a **proper test** of $\overline{\theta}$ and LR scenarios:
- Deuteron & helion work as complementary isospin filters of EDMs
- 2N contact terms cannot be neglected for nuclei beyond D
- a2HDM case: ³He and ³H EDMs would be needed for a proper test
- pure qCEDM: similar to a2HDM scenario
- pure qEDM: $d_D = 0.94(d_n + d_p)$ and $d_{^3He/^3H} = 0.9d_{n/p}$
- gCEDM, 4quark χ singlet: controlled calculation difficult (lattice ?)
- Ultimate progress may eventually come from Lattice QCD $\Rightarrow CP^{\sim} N\pi$ couplings $g_0 \& g_1$ may be accessible even for dim-6 case



Many thanks to my colleagues

- in Jülich: Jan Bsaisou, Christoph Hanhart, Susanna Liebig, Ulf-G. Meißner, David Minossi, Andreas Nogga, and Jordy de Vries
- in Bonn: Feng-Kun Guo, Bastian Kubis, Ulf-G. Meißner
- and: Werner Bernreuther, Bira van Kolck, and Kolya Nikolaev

References:

- A.W., J. Bsaisou, A. Nogga, Permanent Electric Dipole Moments in Single-, Two- and Three-Nucleon Systems Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 26, 1740031 (2017), arXiv:1610.00794.
- 2 J. Bsaisou, U.-G. Meißner, A. Nogga and A.W., P- and T-Violating Lagrangians in Chiral Effective Field Theory and Nuclear Electric Dipole Moments, Annals of Physics 359, 317-370 (2015), arXiv:1412.5471 [hep-ph].
- 3 J. Bsaisou, C. Hanhart, S. Liebig, D. Minossi, U.-G. Meißner, A. Nogga and A.W., Electric dipole moments of light nuclei, JHEP 03, 104 (05,083) (2015), arXiv:1411.5804.
- 4 W. Dekens, J. de Vries, J. Bsaisou, W. Bernreuther, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, A. Nogga and A.W., JHEP 07, 069 (2014), arXiv:1404.6082 [hep-ph].
- 5 J. Bsaisou, C. Hanhart, S. Liebig, U.-G. Meißner, A. Nogga and A.W., The electric dipole moment of the deuteron from the QCD θ-term, Eur. Phys. J. A 49, 31 (2013), arXiv:1209.6306 [hep-ph].



Jump slides

Andreas Wirzba



θ -term: CP π NN vertices determined from LECs

Leading g_0^{θ} coupling (from c_5)

Baluni (1979); Crewther et al. (1979); Ottnad et al. (2010); Mereghetti et al. (2011); de Vries et al. (2011); Bsaisou et al. (2013)

 g_0^{θ} : $N^{\dagger} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} N$ -vertex

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \dots + c_5 2B N^{\dagger} \left((m_u - m_d) \tau_3 + \frac{2m^* \bar{\theta}}{F_{\pi}} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} \right) N + \dots$$

$$\delta M_{np}^{str} = 4B(m_u - m_d) c_5 \rightarrow g_0^{\theta} = \bar{\theta} \, \delta M_{np}^{str} \, (1 - \epsilon^2) \frac{1}{4F_{\pi}\epsilon}$$

$$\delta M_{np}^{em} \rightarrow \delta M_{np}^{str} = (2.44 \pm 0.18) \text{MeV Walker-Loud ('13); Borsányi et al. ('14)}$$

$$\& m_u/m_d = 0.46 \pm 0.03 \qquad \text{Flag Working Group ('14)}$$

$$\rightarrow g_0^{\theta} = (15.5 \pm 1.9) \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \overline{\theta}$$

Bsaisou et al. ('15)

$$\epsilon = (m_u - m_d)/(m_u + m_d)$$
, $4Bm^* = M_{\pi}^2(1 - \epsilon^2)$, $m^* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$

Andreas Wirzba



θ -term: subleading g_1^{θ} coupling (from c_1 LEC)





Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv. comm.



Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv. comm.



30 25



Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv. comm.



Andreas Wirzba



Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv. comm.





Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv. comm.





Epelbaum, Krebs, Meißner, EPJA 51 & PRL 115 (2015); Binder et al., PRC 93 (2016); and A. Nogga, priv.comm.

