# Determining quadrupole magnetic length shortening in COSY using a Bmad model

Michael Margos

DPG 2024 Berlin

18.03.2024







### Table of Contents

### 1 COSY

2 Fitting Focus Strength versus Current

**3** Re-calculate Betatron Tunes

4 Outlook

## Cooler Synchrotron (COSY)

- at Forschungszentrum Jülich for over 30 years
- circumference 184 m
- *p* = 0.3 3.7 GeV/c
- polarized or unpolarized protons/deuterons
- 2 electron coolers, 1 stochastic cooler
- hadron physics and precision experiments



### Betatron Oscillation

### Motivation



Klaus Wille, Physik der Teilchenbeschleuniger und Synchrotronstrahlungsquellen

### Motivation

- precision experiments  $\rightarrow$  need to understand quadrupoles
- tune discrepancy between model and measurement
- possible reasons:
  - wrong parametrization of quadrupole magnets
  - magnetic shortening

### Discrepancy and Magnetic Length Shortening







use python with bmad interface (pytao) for each timestamp:

- set magnet currents, steerer values, etc. in bmad
- compare measured tune with what bmad reports

## **Bmad Model**

- Bmad lattice with 568 elements
- using Tao to visualize and compute tunes

modification to existing lattice needed:

- group quadrupole magnets with common power source (8 + 6 families)
- insert relation between gradient and current

## Quadrupole with same Power Source



32 straight quadrupoles on 8 different power sources

24 arc quadrupoles on 6 different power sources

### What is Focus Strength?

$$F[T] = \int rac{dB_y}{dx} ds = g[T/m] \ell_{eff}[m]$$

- with g: nominal gradient, and
- $\ell_{\it eff}$ : the effective length of the magnet
- quadrupole strength k can be calculated via:

$$k = rac{q}{p}g = rac{q}{p}rac{F}{\ell_{eff}}$$

• previous parametrization resulted in:

straight:  $g(I) = 0.000252674 + 0.0168157 I + 1.29491 \cdot 10^{-9} I^3 - 9.6 \cdot 10^{-15} I^5$ arc:  $g(I) = 0.0102268 + 0.0166946 I + 2.8115 \cdot 10^{-9} I^3 - 1.73 \cdot 10^{-14} I^5$ 

## Optical Character Recognition (OCR)

Dir.-File : /mnt/03/cm/quad/quadrupol/daten/QDmqu3/20.02.92 2/exitat/g #cosyquad

Spule : 1

B(I) Messwerte

Strom[A] Linser	nstaerke[T]	Lstaerke[T]/Strom	[1]	Messdatum
01 50.02000 02 149.940000 03 249.96000 05 400.08000 05 400.08000 06 450.180000 07 503.74000 08 550.040000 09 600.080000	0.321678 0.962458 1.602737 2.238287 2.549451 2.850420 3.128846 3.342517 3.544748	6.430991e-03 6.418955e-03 6.418958e-03 6.391820e-03 6.372352e-03 6.331734e-03 6.076861e-03 5.907126e-03		20.02.92,09:27h 20.02.92,09:28h 20.02.92,09:29h 20.02.92,09:30h 20.02.92,09:32h 20.02.92,09:33h 20.02.92,09:33h 20.02.92,09:35h 20.02.92,09:35h
50 -2.358	. 10-4	+ 7.66 E -4		

### Fit Polynomials to Data



fit all 32 identical straight quadrupoles simultaneously

fit all 24 identical arc quadrupoles simultaneously

I[A]



4096 resolution points equivalent to fractional tune of  $0.5\,$ 

## Re-calculate Measured Tunes

- gather FFT spectra from different BPM positions
- FFT resolution of 8192 points is equivalent to fractional tune range of  $q_x \in [-0.5, 0.5]$
- only positive range is saved
- find peak by fitting gaussian

### **FFT** Fits



• per file, for each bpm, take FFT values and corresponding tune ranges

- perform gaussian fit in restricted range  $(3.62 < Q_x < 3.7 \text{ and } 3.55 < Q_y < 3.7)$
- save weighted average of fitted tunes over all bpms

### Overview over Fitted Tunes



## Outlook

### summary

- got relation between applied current and quadrupole gradients
- offline FFT fits result in more usable tune measurements
- method can be applied to other storage rings

### TODO

- get quadrupole settings from runs
- set dipoles, quadrupoles, steerers
- use data from more runs
- compare bmad tunes, offline tunes, and online tunes

## backup slides

## Optical Character Recognition (OCR)

- 32 quadrupoles in the straights
- 24 quadrupoles in the arcs
- take 120 mid-res (1600  $\times$  900 pixel) photos of data sheets
- convert them to text files using tesseract-ocr
- read in second column (current) and third column (focus strength)
- if conversion fails  $\rightarrow$  manually edit text files
- convert them to numpy arrays for further processing

### **Tesseract OCR**

### optical character recognition

Dir.-File : /mnt/o3/cm/quad/quadrupol/daten/QDmqu3/20.02.92\_2/exitat/g\_#cosyquad

Spule : 1

B(I) Messwerte

Strom[A] Linser	nstaerke[T]	Lstaerke[T]/Strom[I	] Messdatum
01 50.02000 02 149.940000 03 249.96000 05 400.08000 05 400.08000 06 450.180000 07 503.740000 08 550.04000 09 600.080000	0.321678 0.962458 1.602737 2.538287 2.549451 2.850420 3.128846 3.342517 3.544748	6.430991e-03 6.418955e-03 6.418973e-03 6.391820e-03 6.372352e-03 6.311734e-03 6.211231e-03 6.076861e-03 5.907126e-03	20.02.92,09:27h 20.02.92,09:28h 20.02.92,09:29h 20.02.92,09:30h 20.02.92,09:31h 20.02.92,09:33h 20.02.92,09:33h 20.02.92,09:35h 20.02.92,09:36h
5-0 -2.358	. 10-4	+ 7.66 E -4	

### Tesseract OCR

optical character recognition

### pure output of OCR

Dir.-File : /mnt/o3/cm/quad/quadrupol/daten/QDmqu3/20.02.92\_2/exitat/g\_#cosyquad

Spule : 1

B(I) Messwerte

Strom[A] Linsenstaerke[T] L.-staerke[T]/Strom[I] Messdatum : o1 50.020000 0.321678 6.430991e-03 20..02..927109227h ; 02 149.940000 0.962458 6.411973e-03 20.02.92,09:28h je 03 249.960000 1.602737 6.411973e-03 20.02.92,09:29h e 04 350.180000 2.238287 6.391820e-03 20.02.92,09:30h & 05 400.080000 2.549451 6.372352e-03 20.02.92,09:32h u 06 450.180000 2.850420 6.331734e-03 20.02.92,09:33h hs 07 503.740000 3.128846 6.211231e-03 20.02.92,09:34h ö 08 550.040000 3.342517 6.076861e-03 20.02.92,09:35h 09 600.0880000 ee 5.907126e-03 20.02.92,09:36h

2: r a

a > S AO r |

## Tesseract OCR

### pure output of OCR

Dir.-File : /mnt/o3/cm/quad/quadrupol/daten/QDmqu3/20.02.92\_2/exitat/g\_#cosyquad

Spule : 1

#### B(I) Messwerte

Strom[A] Linsenstaerke[T] L.-staerke[T]/Strom[I] Messdatum : o1 50.02000 0.321678 6.430991e-03 20.02..927109227h ; 02 149.940000 0.962458 6.411973e-03 20.02.92.09:28h je 03 249.960000 1.602737 6.411973e-03 20.02.92.09:29h e 04 350.180000 2.238287 6.391820e-03 20.02.92.09:30h & 05 400.080000 2.549451 6.372352e-03 20.02.92.09:32h u 06 450.180000 2.850420 6.331734e-03 20.02.92.09:33h hs 07 503.740000 3.128846 6.211231e-03 20.02.92.09:34h ö 08 550.040000 3.342517 6.076861e-03 20.02.92.09:35h 09 600.0880000 e 5.907126e-03 20.02.92.09:35h

#### 2: r a

a > S AO r |

ightarrow ~pprox 20 files maually edited

### after manual editing

01 50.020000 0.321678 6.430991e-03 20..02.927109227h ; 02 149.940000 0.962458 6.418955e-03 20.02.92,09:28h je 03 249.960000 1.602737 6.411973e-03 20.02.92,09:29h e 04 350.180000 2.238287 6.391820e-03 20.02.92,09:30h k 05 400.080000 2.549451 6.372352e-03 20.02.92,09:33h hs 07 503.740000 3.128846 6.211231e-03 20.02.92,09:33h hs 08 550.040000 3.342517 6.076861e-03 20.02.92,09:38h 09 600.080000 3.544748 5.907126e-03 20.02.92,09:38h

### Bmad Config Example

```
_____
! coefficient definitions
1------
eff length t = 0.65 ! in Meter
I C t = -5.72454e-01 ! in Ampere
a_t = 1.08440e-02 / eff_length_t ! in T m^-1 A^-1
b_t = 1.32535e-09 / eff_length_t ! in T m^-1 A^-3
c_t = -6.97028e-15 / eff_length_t ! in T m^-1 A^-5
! guadrupole currents taken from Vera Poncza's PhD thesis:
|------
curr at1 = 104.087
ovat1: overlay = f
DT01 H1[b1 gradient]: -a t*(current-I C t) - b t*(current-I C t)^3 - c t*(current-I C t)^5.
QT01 H2[b1 gradient]: -a t*(current-I C t) - b t*(current-I C t)^3 - c t*(current-I C t)^5.
QT04_H1[b1_gradient]: -a_t*(current-I_C_t) - b_t*(current-I_C_t)^3 - c_t*(current-I_C_t)^5.
DT04 H2[b1 gradient]: -a t*(current-I C t) - b t*(current-I C t)^3 - c t*(current-I C t)^5.
OT13[b1 gradient]: -a t*(current-I C t) - b t*(current-I C t)^3 - c t*(current-I C t)^5.
QT16[b1_gradient]: -a_t*(current-I_C_t) - b_t*(current-I_C_t)^3 - c_t*(current-I_C_t)^5 &
}, var = {current}, current = curr at1
```

### Overview over Fitted Tunes

quick overview of tunes per file number ( $\approx$  time)

- tune results clearly bound by restricted fit range
- after some time tunes vary more  $\rightarrow$  further investigation



run51330 SH41 0.000









