

# Test of the Standard Model and Search for Physics Beyond\*

## Opportunities for Fundamental Physics using Small-scale Storage Ring Experiments

N. N. Nikolaev<sup>1,2</sup>, F. Rathmann<sup>3</sup>, R. Talman<sup>4</sup>, H. Ströher<sup>3</sup>, A. Adelmann<sup>5</sup>, F. Meier Aeschbacher<sup>5,6</sup>, Y. Cai<sup>7</sup>, P. Fierlinger<sup>8</sup>, R. Gebel<sup>3</sup>, J. Grames<sup>9</sup>, M. Grieser<sup>10</sup>, V. Hejny<sup>3</sup>, A. Kacharava<sup>3</sup>, K.S. Khaw<sup>11</sup>, K. Kirch<sup>5,12</sup>, A. Knecht<sup>5</sup>, I. Keshelashvili<sup>3</sup>, I. Koop<sup>13</sup>, A. Lehrach<sup>3,14</sup>, P. Lenisa<sup>15</sup>, W.J. Marciano<sup>16</sup>, D. Mechedlishvili<sup>17</sup>, U.-G. Meißner<sup>18,19,17</sup>, A. Nass<sup>3</sup>, A. Papa<sup>5,20</sup>, M. Poelker<sup>9</sup>, S. Peggs<sup>16</sup>, J. Pretz<sup>3,14</sup>, A. Ryd<sup>4</sup>, M. Sakurai<sup>12</sup>, T. Satogata<sup>9</sup>, P. Schmidt-Wellenburg<sup>5</sup>, J. Seeman<sup>7</sup>, Yu. Senichev<sup>21,2</sup>, A. Stahl<sup>14</sup>, H. Tye<sup>22</sup>, and A. Wirzba<sup>19</sup>

August 28, 2020

### Abstract

This letter of interest proposes to test the Standard Model (SM) of elementary particle physics and to search for physics beyond (BSM), using storage rings and with a focus on spin-physics. The aim is to investigate BSM using small-scale precision experiments. The involved new technologies are challenging and strongly benefit from a coordinated effort of international laboratories.

### Scientific background

Polarized particle beams stored in accelerator rings can be exploited to test fundamental symmetries and the Standard Model of elementary particle physics, as well as to search for physics beyond [1]. A prominent example for such studies is the planned measurement of electric dipole moments (EDMs) of fundamental particles [2, 3, 4]. New aspects which were previously inaccessible, can now be investigated experimentally with the aim of, e.g., testing the SM in new ways [5]. Polarized protons or deuterons stored in a suitable EDM ring may also be directly sensitive to an ambient dark matter (DM) field made of axionlike particles, which could produce oscillating EDMs [6, 7, 8].

### Potential of storage rings – the EDM example

It is expected that EDMs of fundamental particles, such as protons, deuterons, helions ( ${}^3\text{He}$  nuclei) and leptons (electrons and muons), can be measured in storage rings with frozen spin. For protons, a fully-electric machine is considered the ultimate goal. In order to develop the key technologies, a prototype ring needs to be realized beforehand as a test facility [3, Chap. 7]. The suppression of systematic errors makes it essential that the ring lattice supports simultaneously counter-rotating beams. For electrons/positrons and protons, this is possible with fully electric bending. Frozen-spin muons can be obtained by using a radial electric field in a weakly focusing, magnetic storage ring and imply regular reversal of the magnetic field to permit clock-wise and counter-clock wise storage [9]. Simultaneously counter-rotating beams require a large difference between the two beams, either in particle type or in energy [10, 11, 12, 13].

In frozen-spin operation, the bunch polarizations remains globally frozen, e.g., parallel to the beam momentum. With superimposed electric and magnetic bending, the frozen spin condition can be met by fixing the ratio of radial electric to vertical magnetic field, allowing for EDM measurements of many different particle species in one and the same machine [9, 14]. Furthermore, many experiments may be performed with spins that are frozen locally, but not globally, i.e., searches for parity and time-reversal violation with precessing in-plane polarization [5, 15], and tests of co-magnetometry in unequal-beam hybrid storage rings [10, 11, 12]. In that case, a phase-lock is necessary to maintain the local spin orientation [16].

### Way forward

Although the needed precision accelerator apparatus represents a remarkable technological challenge, the expertise available in numerous laboratories is adequate to tackle these. Since no individual laboratory, however, is sufficiently qualified to perform all the required tasks, a way forward would be to assemble a consortium of laboratories capable and willing to share the various tasks. Table 1 provides a list of suggested investigations that correlate with the interests of different laboratories. Being far from complete, this table is intended to demonstrate the many physics opportunities available using small-scale storage rings.

\*The present "Letter-of-Interest" (LOI), submitted to "RF3: Fundamental Physics in Small Experiments", should be viewed in context with the more dedicated LOI on "Storage Rings for the Search of Charged-Particle Electric Dipole Moments", submitted to "AF5: Accelerators for PBC and Rare Processes".

Table 1: List of opportunities to which laboratories may contribute, in terms of various parameters, bending field, type of particle, beam kinetic energy  $T$ , etc. The items are grouped along three themes, small-scale storage rings, physics ideas for new experiments, and new instruments and technical developments.

#	Opportunity	Field	Type	T [MeV]	COSY <sup>a</sup>	BNL	TJNAF	CERN	Cornell	Other	Reference
1	Ultra-high vacuum ring	$E$	atoms, molecules	0.3						MPIK <sup>b</sup>	[17]
2	EDM prototype	$E \& B$	$p$	45	✓						
3	All-electric $p$ -EDM	$E$	$p, p$	232.8		✓					[3, Chap. 7]
4	Frozen-spin muon EDM	$B \& E$	$\mu^+, \mu^-$	58							[18]
5	Time-reversal violation	$E \& B$	$e^+ \& e^-$		✓					JINR <sup>d</sup>	[5]
6	Precision CPT test $G(e^+) - G(e^-)$	$B$								BINP <sup>e</sup>	[3, App. G.3], [20], [21], [22]
7	Asymmetrically colliding ion beams	$E \& B$			✓					FNAL	[10, 11]
8	Doubly-magic $\Delta$ EDM	$E \& B$	$p/{}^3\text{He}$	38.6/39.2	✓	✓					[12], [3, Table G.1]
9	Doubly-magic $e^+, p, p$ -EDM	$E \& B$	$e^+/p$	30.1/86.6		✓					[12], [3, Table G.1]
10	Deuteron EDM	$E \& B$			✓						[9]
11	Electron EDM	$E$	$e^+/e^-$	14.5		✓					[23, 24]
12	Axionlike DM from oscillating EDMs	$E$	$p$	45 (#2), 232.8 (#3)	✓						[6, 7, 8]
13	Proton accumulation with cooler	$B$	$p$		45	✓	✓	✓			[3, Chap. 7]
14	Polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ source		${}^3\text{He}$			✓					[25]
15	Electron polarimetry	$B$	$e^+/e^-$	39.2							[26, 27, 28]
16	Polarized $e^+$ source	$e^+$		0.5		✓					[29]
17	$p, d$ carbon polarimeter	$E \& B$	$p, d$	15		✓					
				30 – 300	✓						
18	Pellet extraction sampling	$B$				✓					
19	Spin sensitive control	$E$ or $B$	$p$			✓					
20	Precision MDM comparator	$E \& B$	various		✓		✓				[3, App. G.3], [22]

<sup>a</sup> COoler SYnchrotron COSY [30] operated at Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

<sup>e</sup> Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia

<sup>f</sup> Scattered proton direction and energy by range requires electronics much like the one used in the muon  $g - 2$  decay experiment in physics and complexity.

## References

- [1] J. Jaeckel, M. Lamont, and C. Vallée, “The quest for new physics with the physics beyond colliders programme,” *Nature Physics* **16**, 393 (2020), ISSN 1745-2481, URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0838-4>.
- [2] T. Chupp, P. Fierlinger, M. Ramsey-Musolf, and J. Singh, “Electric Dipole Moments of the Atoms, Molecules, Nuclei and Particles,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015001 (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.02504>.
- [3] F. Abusaif et al., “Storage Ring to Search for Electric Dipole Moments of Charged Particles - Feasibility Study,” (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.07881>.
- [4] A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and P. Schmidt-Wellenburg, “Combined explanations of  $(g - 2)_{\mu,e}$  and implications for a large muon edm,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 113002 (2018), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.113002>.
- [5] N. Nikolaev, F. Rathmann, A. Silenko, and Y. Uzikov, “New approach to search for parity-even and parity-odd time-reversal violation beyond the Standard Model in a storage ring,” (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09943>.
- [6] S. P. Chang, S. Haciomeroglu, O. Kim, S. Lee, S. Park, and Y. K. Semertzidis, “Axionlike dark matter search using the storage ring EDM method,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 083002 (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.05271>.
- [7] C. Abel et al., “Search for Axionlike Dark Matter through Nuclear Spin Precession in Electric and Magnetic Fields,” *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 041034 (2017), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.06367>.
- [8] J. Pretz, S. Karanth, E. Stephenson, S. P. Chang, V. Hejny, S. Park, Y. Semertzidis, and H. Ströher, “Statistical sensitivity estimates for oscillating electric dipole moment measurements in storage rings,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 107 (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09678>.
- [9] F. J. M. Farley, K. Jungmann, J. P. Miller, W. M. Morse, Y. F. Orlov, B. L. Roberts, Y. K. Semertzidis, A. Silenko, and E. J. Stephenson, “New method of measuring electric dipole moments in storage rings,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 052001 (2004), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.052001>.
- [10] I. Koop, in *Proceedings, 4th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC 2013)*, edited by Z. Dai, C. Petit-Jean-Genaz, V. R. W. Schaa, and C. Zhang, JACoW (JACoW, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013), JACoW conferences, pp. 1961–1963, ISBN 9783954501229, URL <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC2013/index.htm>.
- [11] I. Koop, “Colliding or co-rotating ion beams in storage rings for EDM search,” *Phys. Scripta T* **166**, 014034 (2015).
- [12] R. Talman, “A doubly-magic storage ring EDM measurement method,” (2018), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.05949>.
- [13] A. Aksentev and Y. Senichev, “Frequency domain method of the search for the electric dipole moment in a storage ring,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1435**, 012026 (2020), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1435/1/012047>.
- [14] F. Rathmann, A. Saleev, and N. N. Nikolaev (JEDI, srEDM), “The search for electric dipole moments of light ions in storage rings,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **447**, 012011 (2013), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/447/1/012011>.
- [15] I. A. Koop, A. I. Milstein, N. N. Nikolaev, A. S. Popov, S. G. Salnikov, P. Yu. Shatunov, and Yu. M. Shatunov, “Strategies for Probing  $P$ -Parity Violation in Nuclear Collisions at the NICA Accelerator Facility,” *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **17**, 154 (2020), [Pisma Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra 17,no.2,122(2020)], URL <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1547477120020107>.

- [16] N. Hempelmann et al. (JEDI), “Phase locking the spin precession in a storage ring,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 014801 (2017), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.07561>.
- [17] R. von Hahn et al., “The Cryogenic Storage Ring CSR,” Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87**, 063115 (2016), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01525>.
- [18] V. Anastassopoulos et al. (2011), by the Storage Ring EDM Collaboration, available from <http://www.bnl.gov/edm/>.
- [19] A. Adelmann, K. Kirch, C. Onderwater, and T. Schietinger, “Compact storage ring to search for the muon electric dipole moment,” J. Phys. G **37**, 085001 (2010), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/37/8/085001>.
- [20] V. Blinov, A. Bogomyagkov, G. Karpov, V. Kiselev, E. Levichev, S. Nikitin, I. Nikolaev, E. Shubin, and G. Tumaikin, “Study of the possibility of increasing the accuracy of CPT invariance test at electron-positron storage rings,” ICFA Beam Dyn. Newslett. **48**, 207 (2009).
- [21] V. Blinov et al., in *4th International Particle Accelerator Conference* (2013), p. TUPME028.
- [22] D. Eversmann et al. (JEDI), “New method for a continuous determination of the spin tune in storage rings and implications for precision experiments,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 094801 (2015), URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.094801>.
- [23] K. Aulenbacher, E. Chudakov, D. Gaskell, J. Grames, and K. D. Paschke, “Precision electron beam polarimetry for next generation nuclear physics experiments,” International Journal of Modern Physics E **27**, 1830004 (2018), URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301318300047>.
- [24] R. Talman, *The Electric Dipole Moment Challenge*, 2053-2571 (Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2017), ISBN 978-1-6817-4509-1, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/978-1-6817-4509-1>.
- [25] H. Huang et al., in *5th International Particle Accelerator Conference* (2014), p. WEPRO071.
- [26] R. Talman, B. Roberts, J. Grames, A. Hofler, R. Kazimi, M. Poelker, and R. Suleiman, “Resonant (Longitudinal and Transverse) Electron Polarimetry,” PoS **PSTP2017**, 028 (2018), URL <https://pos.sissa.it/324/028/pdf>.
- [27] A. Hofler (2017), available from [https://wiki.jlab.org/ciswiki/images/5/5e/170329\\_Hofler.pdf](https://wiki.jlab.org/ciswiki/images/5/5e/170329_Hofler.pdf).
- [28] R. Talman, “Prospects for electric dipole moment measurement using electrostatic accelerators,” Reviews of Accelerator Science and Technology **10**, 267 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793626819300147>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793626819300147>.
- [29] D. Abbott, P. Adderley, A. Adeyemi, P. Aguilera, M. Ali, H. Areti, M. Baylac, J. Benesch, G. Bosson, B. Cade, et al. (PEPPo Collaboration), “Production of highly polarized positrons using polarized electrons at mev energies,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 214801 (2016), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.214801>.
- [30] C. Weidemann et al., “Toward polarized antiprotons: Machine development for spin-filtering experiments,” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **18**, 020101 (2015), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.18.020101>.

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Moscow Institute for Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Russia

<sup>3</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52428 Jülich, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca NY, 14850, USA

<sup>5</sup>Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

- <sup>6</sup>Physikalisches Institut, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany  
<sup>7</sup>SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Rd, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA  
<sup>8</sup>Department of Physics, Technical University Munich, 85748 Garching b. München, Germany  
<sup>9</sup>Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA  
<sup>10</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany  
<sup>11</sup>Tsung-Dao Lee Institute and Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 200240 Shanghai, China  
<sup>12</sup>Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland  
<sup>13</sup>Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia  
<sup>14</sup>III. Physikalisches Institut B, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany  
<sup>15</sup>University of Ferrara and INFN, 44100 Ferrara, Italy  
<sup>16</sup>Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA  
<sup>17</sup>Tbilisi State University, 0186 Tbilisi, Georgia  
<sup>18</sup>Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik and Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, Universitat Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, Germany  
<sup>19</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, Institute for Advanced Simulation and Jülich Center for Hadron Physics, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany  
<sup>20</sup>University of Pisa and INFN, 56127 Pisa, Italy  
<sup>21</sup>Accelerator Division, Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 117312 Moscow, Russia  
<sup>22</sup>Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong