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## Development of modern magnet systems <br> Customer requirements

1) Charged particles, mass, charge
a) Energy, momentum, rigidity $B \rho(s$. tab. )
b) Intensity, phase space $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \delta p / p$
c) Time structure, DC, AC beams, macro-, microcycle
2) Purpose and aims
a) Beam transport
b) Applications e.g.

Irradiations (food ... )
Isotope production (incl. mass separation.. )
Spectroscopy ( energy, mass, time ..
Medical treatments ( voxel scanning, application of

## 3) Available space and limitations

a) New buildings or tunnels, new radiation shielding
b) Existing or annexes (multifloor layout...)

4 ) Expenditures ( periphery omitted here!)
I Hardware
a) Overall new investments
b) Usage of existing magnets, possibly to be modified

II Manpower
a) Customer's manpower (e.g. formulation of optics concept, engineering, acceptance tests - field mapping alignment, commissioning..... )
b)

Outside or commercial manpower

III Operation costs
a) Power
b) Cooling
c ) Backup \& spares, preservation investments
d) Maintenance
e) System aspects (controls, documentation ... )
5) Performance and reliability
a) Availibility (short term ....)
b) Reprocucibility
6) Delivery aspects

## ad 1 )

a ) The requested rigidity prejudices the technology: normal conducting ( n. c. ) $0.3 \mathrm{~T}<\mathrm{B}<1.2$ ( 1.5 ) T superconducting (s.c.) >1.5 T permanent magnet ( p. m. ) B ~ 1 T

The deflection radius $\rho$ determines size, weight, power and Costs.
b ) The emittances fix apertures, magnet gaps, quadrupole and sextupole ... bores.

## N. B. Liouville theorem :

Phase space conservation connects size to divergence :
E. g. For typical $\varepsilon_{x}=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ mrad a requested spot of $100 \mu$ leads to $50 \mathrm{mrad} \sim 3^{\circ}$ duivergence!
c ) Time structure of beams lead to specific technologies :
DC : Solid low C iron (n. c. ), Rare Earth Co (p. m. )
AC : Laminated poles, yokes
( Ramping, hysteresis effects... steel specs )

## ad 2 \& 3 )

The application of the charged particle beam requires an ion optical concept :

In general:
Start:
A beam of momentum $p$ and emittances $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \delta p / p$.
Destination:
That beam with specified spot size, divergence, momentum and $\Delta p / p$, as well as time structure.

In between topological requests and others ( shielding, monitoring.. )
as well as beam deflection angles, beam rotation have to be met.
Also some optical conditions have to be observed e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{dp}=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M} x \rho / \mathrm{x}_{0} & \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{R}_{16}-\text { Dispersion } \\
& \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{R}_{11}-\text { Magnification } \\
& x_{0}-\text { spot size }, \rho=\text { bending radius }
\end{array}
$$

For economy the beam should be small inside the magnets ( axial waist in dipoles ) and symmetric in quadrupoles. Generally one starts with a first order approach using matrix algebra : The beam is specified by a 6 - dimensional vector, the elements ( magnets and drifts in between etc... ) by ( $6 \times 6$ ) matrices, whose elements are derived from a solution of the equation of motion.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{1}^{\prime}=\theta_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{1}^{\prime}=\phi_{1} \\
l_{1} \\
\delta_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
R_{11} & R_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{16} \\
R_{21} & R_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{26} \\
0 & 0 & R_{33} & R_{34} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & R_{43} & R_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
R_{51} & R_{52} & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{56} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0} \\
x_{0}^{\prime}=\theta_{0} \\
y_{0} \\
y_{0}^{\prime}=\phi_{0} \\
l_{0} \\
\delta_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a particle travelling from A (index 0 ) to B (index 1 )
through drifts $L_{1}$ to $L_{3}$. a dipole and a quadrupole the matrices are to be multiplied in opposite direction.


The elements of the product matrix defines the optical constraints typical for single ray optics e. g.

Achromaticity:
Isochronicity:
Focussing conditions:
Point to point :
Parallel to point :
Parall. to parall.
Point to parall.:
( from K. L. Brown, Transport CERN 80-04)

Another formulation of this first order matrix is given by
$\left(\begin{array}{llll}R_{11} & R_{12} & . . & R_{16} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & . . & R_{26} \\ R_{33} & R_{34} & . . & . . \\ R_{43} & R_{44} & . . & . .\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}c_{x} & s_{x} & . . & d_{x} \\ {c^{\prime}}_{x} & s_{x}^{\prime} & . . & d^{\prime}{ }_{x} \\ c_{y} & s_{y} & . . & \\ c^{\prime}{ }_{y} & s_{y}^{\prime} & . . & \end{array}\right)$

These functions of the line element $t$ are called cosine and sinelike of the radial ( $x$ ) and the axial ( $y$ ) planes
e. g. in a focus :
$s_{x}=0$ and $c_{x}$ is the radial magnification, also

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline C_{x}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{f_{x}} & \text { and } \\
\text { To enlarge d 'make' } & \begin{array}{l}
d_{x}=S_{x} \cdot \int c_{x} d \alpha \\
d \alpha=d \tau / \rho_{0}
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

sin-like function large !


FIG. 4--SINE-LIKE FUNCTION $s_{x}(t)=R_{12}$ IN MAGNETIC MIDPLANE. $s_{x}^{\prime}(t)=R_{22}$.

A beam of particles is assumed to lie in the the boundaries of an ellipse. With a beam matrix $\sigma_{\mathrm{nm}}$ a beam from A (index 0 ) to $\mathrm{B}($ index 1 ) as

$$
\sigma(1)=R \sigma(0) R^{\top}
$$

where T denotes the transpose. The ellipse area equals the phase space.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=\pi(\operatorname{det} \sigma)^{1 / 2}=\pi \mathrm{x}_{\max } \theta_{\mathrm{int}}=\pi \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{int}} \theta \max \\
& \text { and } x_{\max }=\sqrt{\beta_{x} * \varepsilon_{x}} \quad \theta_{\max }=\sqrt{\gamma_{x} * \varepsilon_{x}} \\
& \text { ( equivalently for axial coordinates ) }
\end{aligned}
$$



A TWO-DIMENSIONAL BEAM PHASE ELLIPSE

The equation of the ellipse is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma \cdot x^{2}+2 \cdot \alpha \cdot \theta \cdot x+\beta \cdot \theta^{2}=\varepsilon \\
\text { where } \\
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{11} & \sigma_{21} \\
\sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\varepsilon *\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & -\alpha \\
-\alpha & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The determinant of this TWISS matrix is given by :

$$
T=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & -\alpha \\
-\alpha & \gamma
\end{array}\right|=\left(\beta \cdot \gamma-\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

The transformation of TWISS with R - matrix elements is
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\beta \\ \alpha \\ \gamma\end{array}\right)_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}R_{11}^{2} & -2 \cdot R_{11} \cdot R_{12} & R_{12}^{2} \\ -R_{11} \cdot R_{21} & R_{11} \cdot R_{22}+R_{12} \cdot R_{21} & -R_{12} \cdot R_{22} \\ R_{21}^{2} & -2 \cdot R_{21} \cdot R_{22} & R_{22}^{2}\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}\beta \\ \alpha \\ \gamma\end{array}\right)_{0}$

Example: The TWISS matrix elements for a symmetric drift ( $\alpha=0$ ) with ist $R$ - matrix is:

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & L \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{0}+{\frac{L}{4 \cdot \beta_{0}}}^{2}
$$

In addition we need an additional parameter, the phase shift $\Delta \psi$

$$
\Delta \psi=\int \frac{1}{\beta} d s
$$

s - line element along o.a.
( E. D. Courant \& H. S. Snyder, Ann. Phys. 3 (1958))

For the beam - characterised by the $\sigma$ - matrix - there are also constraints, e. g.

| Horizontal beam waist | $\sigma_{21}=0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vertical beam waist | $\sigma_{43}=0$ |

In this tutorial we postpone here second and higher order calculations

## Examples for optical modules

## A) A telescope

It consists of two thin lenses (in one dimension )
separated by a distance equal to the sum of their
focal length providing simultaneous parallel to parallel and point to point imaging i. e. $\mathrm{R}_{21}=\mathrm{R}_{12}=0$ and a phase shift always equal to $\mathrm{n} \pi$ and $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ !

For a two - dimensional system 4 quadrupoles are needed
The magnifications of the beam envelopes may either be the same or different as for

## an ideal matching system

( For further details s. K. L. Brown )

## B ) An application: The F-O-D - 0 system

The most economic beam transport system consists of idendical cells with focussing (F) and defocussing ( D ) components separated by a drift ( O ).

It may be built with standard n. c. magnets of with permanent magnets.

ad 4 )

## I Hardware - layout and engineering

This implies another tutorial with lots of details on

- detailed material specifications
- layout considerations (e. g. choice of special design )
- engineering recipes ( partly commercial secrets )
- availibility of suppliers and their competence
- fabrication details and involved costs

Before a discussion of detailed results as provided by UGS some useful layout comments:

Relations between particle velovity, momentum and energy (from Bovet, CERN )


## Magnetic elements

## Dipole magnet



## Quadrupole lens



## Estimate of Magnet Costs by UGS

| Magnet type: <br> Quantity | Dipole | HEBT DIP1 (fast) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## I. Magnet Parameters

## A. Dipole: Magnetic and mechanical specifications


B. Dipole: Electric specifications

| Item |  | Unit | Customer Req. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Number of coils | 2 |  |  |
| B-field ramp rate | 8 | T/s | yes |
| B-field rise time | 0,2 | s |  |
| Pulse rep. rate | 10 | Hz |  |
| Resistance per magnet | 0,029359323 | Ohm @ 20deg |  |
| Current (max) | 1086 | A |  |
| Voltage per dipole (max) | 31,9 | V |  |
| Driving voltage | 4163 | V |  |
| Inductivity | 95 | mH |  |
| Power per magnet | 35 | kVA |  |

Copper conductor specs

| Current density | 4,476 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}^{\wedge 2}$ | yes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Copper layers hor. | 8 |  | yes |
| Copper layers vert. | 6 |  | yes |
| Material (Outukumpu No.) | 8473 |  |  |
| Insulation thickness | 0,5 | mm | yes |
| Pancake insulation (ground) | 1 | mm | yes |

C. Dipole: Cooling specifications

| Delta T in | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | deg | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Temp Coolant in | 28 | deg |  |
| Pressure max in | 12 | at |  |

## II. Dipole engineering design

## A. Estimated working time

| Magnet design (total) | 10 | weeks |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Drafts man design | 400 | mh (technician) |
| Engineering design | 24 | mh (engineer) |
| Documentation, specs | 16 | mh (engineer) |
| 3 D field design for <br> higher quality magnet | 40 | mh (engineer) |

## B. Relevant salaries

| Engineer | 120 | $\mathrm{k} € / \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Technician | 100 | $\mathrm{k} € / \mathrm{y}$ |
| Trained workman | 80 | $\mathrm{k} \in / \mathrm{y}$ |
| working hours per year | 1760 | h |

## III. One- time investment for the magnet type

## A. Tools and other provisions

## 1. Iron: yoke and poles

| Stamping tool | remark 1 | 22000 | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table for stapling of lam. | remark 2 | 50000 | $€$ |
| Frame for stapling | remark 3 | 2000 | $€$ |
| Oven for glueing | remark 4 | 240 | $€$ |
| Lifting console | remark 5 | 800 | $€$ |

Remark 1: 50000 stamps per tool are asumed. Control and sharpening after 5000 stampings:
1 man day (workman) +0.5 man day (engineer) are assumed.
Remark 2: The stacks of laminations have to be stored in suitable forms before they are inserted into the oven with a suitable lifting tool. The costs vary with size and weight. Here the share for this magnet type has been roughly estimated.
Remark 3: The number stapling frames depends on the efficient manufacturing process. Here 2 frames for each magnet type are assumed.
Remark 4: The operational costs for the oven are roughly estimated.
Remark 5: The lifting console is used for manipulating the magnet during stapling and remachining.
2. Coils

| Winding frame (2) | remark 3 | 800 | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oven for bakeout | est. share in cost | 400 | $€$ |
| Coil support table | $"$ | 500 | $€$ |
| Vessel for glueing | $"$ | 370 | $€$ |

## B. Working time

## 1. Iron: yoke and pole pieces

| Stamping tool control, sharpening | see remark 1 | 1 | md W / 5000 punches |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | 0,5 | md E / 5000 punches |
| Preparations | remark 6 | 500 | $€$ |

Remark 6: The stacks of laminations have to be stored in suitable forms before they are inserted into the oven with a suitable lifting tool. The costs vary with size and weight. Here the share for this magnet type has been estimated
2. Coils

| Preparations winding frames | remark 3 | 400 | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## IV. Material costs

## 1. Iron: yoke and poles

| Lamination | number of laminations | 1374 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Lamination | thickness | 1 | mm |
| Coated lamination | price per kg (20\% loss) | 1,30 | $€$ |
| Weight (total) |  | 5384 | kg |
| Cost steel | per magnet | 8399 | $€$ |
| Dowel pins | 3 per yoke | 360 | $€$ |

## 2. Coils

| Raw conductor cost | price per kg | 14 | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Weight (total) |  | 834 | kg |
| Insulation | ins. coating (glas fiber) | 0,4 | $€ / \mathrm{m}$ |
| inlets | no. of inlets per magnet | 2 |  |
| cost copper before winding |  | 23639 | $€$ |
| Connector box | electr. \& water | 2080 | $€$ |
| Coil fix. to yokes | 8 per coil | 524 | $€$ |

## 3. Periphery

| Magnet support | gross price frame | 2216 | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Aligment feet (incl. fixings) | 3 per magnet | 2550 | $€$ |
| Alignment target+sockets | 3 sockets 1 target | 810 | $€$ |
| Crating | for shipping | 454 | $€$ |

## V. Working costs

## 1. Iron: yoke and poles

| Estim. labour costs | stamping | 420 | € |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | stacking | 4165 | € |
|  | welding of belt | 364 | € |
|  | machining of pole profiles | 736 | € |
|  | fix. for coils | 364 | € |
|  | maching of ref. surfaces | 0 | € |
|  | dowel pin fitting | 552 | € |
|  | assembling | 318 | € |
|  | mech. tests/ qual.control | 170 | € |
|  | prep. for shipment | 182 | € |
|  | onsite acceptance | 227 | € |

## 2. Coils

| Estim. labour costs | winding | 503 | $€$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | insulation | 150 | $€$ |
|  | bake-out | 288 | $€$ |
|  | soldering of connectors | 142 | $€$ |
|  | prep. for shipment | 182 | $€$ |
|  | onsite acceptance | 1364 | $€$ |

3. Periphery

| Estim. labour costs | machining of suppport | 4,46 | K€ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | prep. for shipment | 294,48 | $€$ |

## VI. Operational costs for 1 dipole

| Assumed operation | hours | 5000 | $\mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Estim. annual expenditures | cooling | 5,2 | $\mathrm{k} €$ |
| $"$ | power | 17,3 | $\mathrm{k} €$ |
|  | renewal (10\%) | 16,6 | $\mathrm{k} €$ |
| Total operation cost |  | 39,1 | $\mathrm{k} € / \mathrm{a}$. |
| Ratio invest. to ann. op. | see VII. | 4,2 |  |

## VII. Total investment costs

| Estim. price per magnet | steel \& coils \& support | 75 | K€ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnet price x quantity | " | 822 | K€ |
| Magnet \& p.s.+cooling | investment cost | 166 | K€ / magnet |
| Rel.cost (breakdown) | price / kg magnet | 14 | €/Kg magnet |
|  | Price / kg coil | 31 | €/Kg coil |

## Costs depending on manufacturer

| Shipment incl. handling | $?$ | $€$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Add. charges (e.g.insurance) | $?$ | $€$ |
| Profit and risk factor | $?$ |  |
| Inflation (scaling formula) | $?$ | for next n years |

