#### **TRANSVERSITY AND T-ODD PDF's at PAX**

**O. Shevchenko** 

#### **Kinematics**



- $x_1 = \frac{Q^2}{2p_1q}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{Q^2}{2p_2q}$  fractions of the longitudinal momentum of the hadrons A and B carried by the quark and antiquark which annihilate into virtual photon
- $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \simeq 2p_1p_2$  the center of mass energy squared  $Q^2 = M^2 \simeq x_1x_2s \equiv \tau s$  $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x_1}{x_2}$  $x_F = x_1 - x_2$  $x_1 = \frac{\sqrt{x_F^2 + 4\tau} + x_F}{2} = \sqrt{\tau} e^y$  $x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{x_F^2 + 4\tau} - x_F}{2} = \sqrt{\tau} e^{-y}$
- $\theta$  production angle in the dilepton rest frame polar angle of the lepton pair in the dilepton rest frame
- $\phi$  azimuthal angle of lepton pair
- $\phi_S$  azimuthal angle of the hadron polarization measured with respect to lepton plane

#### **Cross-sections**

**QPM:** (D. Boer, PRD 60 (1999) 014012 ) Unpolarized DY:  $H_1H_2 \rightarrow l^+l^-X$  $\frac{d\sigma^{(0)}(H_1H_2 \to l\bar{l}X)}{d\Omega dx_1 dx_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_T} = \frac{\alpha^2}{12Q^2} \sum_q e_q^2 \bigg\{ (1 + \cos^2\theta) \mathcal{F}[\bar{f}_{1q} f_{1q}] +$  $\sin^2\theta\cos(2\phi)\mathcal{F}\left|\left(2\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}\,\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}-\mathbf{k}_{1T}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}\right)\frac{\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp}h_{1q}^{\perp}}{M_1M_2}\right|\right\}$ Single polarized DY:  $H_1H_2^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+l^-X$ **PAX:**  $\bar{p}p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ COMPASS, J-PARC:  $\pi^- p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$  $\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}(H_1H_2^{\uparrow} \to l\bar{l}X)}{d\Omega dx_1 dx_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_T} = \frac{\alpha^2}{12Q^2} \sum_q e_q^2 \left\{ (1 + \cos^2\theta) \mathcal{F}[f_1\bar{f}_1] \right\}$  $+\sin^2\theta\cos(2\phi)\mathcal{F}\left[(2\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}\,\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}\,-\mathbf{k}_{1T}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T})\frac{h_1^{\perp}\bar{h}_1^{\perp}}{M_1M_2}\right]$  $+(1+\cos^2\theta)\sin(\phi-\phi_{S_1})\mathcal{F}\left|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}\frac{f_{1T}^{\perp}\bar{f}_1}{M_1}\right| -\sin^2\theta\sin(\phi+\phi_{S_1})\mathcal{F}\left|\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}\frac{h_1\bar{h}_1^{\perp}}{M_2}\right| \right\}$  $\hat{h}\equiv \mathbf{q}_T/|\mathbf{q}_T|$  $\mathcal{F}[f\bar{f}] \equiv \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{1T} \, d^2 \mathbf{k}_{2T} \, \delta^2 (\mathbf{k}_{1T} + \mathbf{k}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_T) f_q(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2) \bar{f}_q(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2)$ 

#### Unpolarized DY $H_1H_2 \rightarrow l^+l^-X$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{(0)}(H_1H_2 \rightarrow l\bar{l}X)}{d\Omega dx_1 dx_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_T} = \frac{\alpha^2}{12Q^2} \sum_q e_q^2 \left\{ (1 + \cos^2\theta) \mathcal{F}[\bar{f}_{1q} f_{1q}] + \sin^2\theta \cos(2\phi) \mathcal{F}\left[ (2\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1T} \,\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2T} - \mathbf{k}_{1T} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2T}) \frac{\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp} h_{1q}^{\perp}}{M_1 M_2} \right] \right\}$$

$$R = \frac{3}{16\pi} (1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta + \mu \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + k \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi), \quad (\lambda \simeq 1, \mu \simeq 0, k \equiv \nu/2)$$

$$h_{1q}^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) = \frac{\alpha_T}{\pi} \frac{M_C M_H}{\mathbf{p}_T^2 + M_C^2} e^{-\alpha_T \mathbf{p}_T^2} f_{1q}(x) \qquad (\mathsf{M}_c = 2.3 \, GeV, \alpha_T = 1 GeV^{-2})$$

#### $\mathbf{q}_T$ integration approach

- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilation: D. Boer, R. Jakob, P.J. Mulders, NPB 504, 345 (1997); PLB 424, 143 (1998)
- SIDIS: A.M. Kotzinian, P.J. Mulders, Phys. Lett. B406 (1997) 373
- Single-polarized DY (Sivers function investigation) A. Efremov et al, Phys. Lett. B612 (2005)

We introduce [Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 054027; Eur. Phys. J. C46 (2006) 147 ]

$$\hat{R} = \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T [|\mathbf{q}_T|^2 / M_1 M_2] [d\sigma^{(0)} / d\Omega]}{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T \sigma^{(0)}},$$
$$\hat{R} = \frac{3}{16\pi} (\gamma (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \hat{k} \, \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi)$$

#### **Factorization**

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T [\mathbf{q}_T^2 / M_1 M_2] \sum_q e_q^2 \mathcal{F}[(2\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1T} \hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2T} - \mathbf{k}_{1T} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2T}) \frac{\bar{h}_1^{\perp} h_1^{\perp}}{M_1 M_2}]}{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T \sum_q e_q^2 \mathcal{F}[\bar{f}_1 f_1]}$$

$$\mathcal{F}[f\bar{f}] \equiv \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{1T} \, d^2 \mathbf{k}_{2T} \, \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_{1T} + \mathbf{k}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_T) f_q(x_1, \mathbf{k}_{1T}^2) \bar{f}_q(x_2, \mathbf{k}_{2T}^2)$$

$$\hat{k} = 8 \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}(\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_{1})h_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_{2}) + (1\leftrightarrow 2))}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}(\bar{f}_{1q}(x_{1})f_{1q}(x_{2}) + (1\leftrightarrow 2))}$$
$$h_{1q}^{\perp(n)}(x) \equiv \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{T}^{2}}{2M^{2}}\right)^{n} h_{1q}^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{k}_{T}^{2})$$

### Single polarized DY process $H_1 H_2^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}(H_{1}H_{2}^{\dagger}\rightarrow l\bar{l}X)}{d\Omega dx_{1}dx_{2}d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{T}} &= \frac{\alpha^{2}}{12Q^{2}}\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\Big\{(1+\cos^{2}\theta)\mathcal{F}[f_{1}\bar{f}_{1}] \\ +\sin^{2}\theta\cos(2\phi)\mathcal{F}\Big[(2\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}\,\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T} - \mathbf{k}_{1T}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T})\frac{h_{1}^{+}\bar{h}_{1}^{+}}{M_{1}M_{2}}\Big] \\ &+(1+\cos^{2}\theta)\sin(\phi-\phi_{S_{1}})\mathcal{F}\Big[\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}\frac{f_{1T}^{+}\bar{f}_{1}}{M_{1}}\Big] \\ &-\sin^{2}\theta\sin(\phi+\phi_{S_{1}})\mathcal{F}\Big[\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}\frac{h_{1}\bar{h}_{1}}{M_{2}}\Big]\Big\} \\ & \mathbf{Let} \ \mathbf{us} \ \mathbf{consider} \ \mathbf{SSA} \\ \hat{A}_{h}(f) &= \frac{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_{2}}\sin(\phi\pm\phi_{S_{2}})[d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) - d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]}{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_{2}}[d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) + d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]} \\ \mathcal{A}_{h} &= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\mathcal{F}\Big[\frac{\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1T}}{M_{1}}\bar{h}_{1q}h_{1q}\Big]}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\mathcal{F}[\bar{f}_{1q}f_{1q}]}, \ A_{f} &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\mathcal{F}\Big[\frac{\hat{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2T}}{M_{2}}\bar{f}_{1}^{q}f_{1T}^{\perp q}\Big]}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\mathcal{F}[\bar{f}_{1q}f_{1q}]} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{A}. \ \text{Sissakian et al, PRD, 2005} \ \end{tabular} \ \text{Asselmino et al, PRD, 2003; Efremov et al, PLB, 2005} \end{aligned}$$

**Factorization** 

# By analogy with $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}}$ considered in ref. A. Efremov et al, PLB **612** (2005) 233, we introduce

$$\hat{A}_{h} = \frac{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_{2}} \int d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{T}(|\mathbf{q}_{T}|/M_{1}) \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_{2}}) [d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) - d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]}{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_{2}} \int d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{T} [d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) + d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]}$$

#### so that after integration

$$\hat{A}_{h} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} [\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_{1})h_{1q}(x_{2}) + (1\leftrightarrow 2)]}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} [\bar{f}_{1q}(x_{1})f_{1q}(x_{2}) + (1\leftrightarrow 2)]},$$
  
$$h_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x) \equiv \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{T}^{2}}{2M^{2}}\right) h_{1q}^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{k}_{T}^{2})$$

$$\bar{p}p \rightarrow l^+ l^- X \text{ and } \bar{p}p^\uparrow \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$$

By virtue of charge conjugation symmetry:

$$\hat{k}|_{\bar{p}p\to l^+l^-X} = 8 \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 [h_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_1)h_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_2) + \bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_1)\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_2)]}{\sum_q e_q^2 [f_{1q}(x_1)f_{1q}(x_2) + \bar{f}_{1q}(x_1)\bar{f}_{1q}(x_2)]},$$
$$\hat{A}_h|_{\bar{p}p^{\uparrow}\to l^+l^-X} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 [h_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_1)h_{1q}(x_2) + \bar{h}_{1q}(x_1)\bar{h}_{1q}^{\perp(1)}(x_2)]}{\sum_q e_q^2 [f_{1q}(x_1)f_{1q}(x_2) + \bar{f}_{1q}(x_1)\bar{f}_{1q}(x_2)]},$$

where now all PDF *refer to protons*. Neglecting squared antiquark and strange quark PDF contributions to proton and taking into account the quark charges and u quark dominance at large x, we get

$$\hat{k}(x_1, x_2)|_{\bar{p}p \to l^+ l^- X} \simeq 8 \frac{h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_1)h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_2)}{f_{1u}(x_1)f_{1u}(x_2)},$$
$$\hat{A}_h(x_1, x_2)|_{\bar{p}p^{\uparrow} \to l^+ l^- X} \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_1)h_{1u}(x_2)}{f_{1u}(x_1)f_{1u}(x_2)}.$$

 $\pi^- p \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$  and  $\pi^- p^\uparrow \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$  (COMPASS, J-PARC)

Why 
$$\pi^- p$$
 but not  $\pi^+ p$ ?



$$\hat{k}(x_{\pi}, x_{p})_{\pi^{-}p} \simeq 8 \frac{\bar{h}_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\pi})\Big|_{\pi^{-}} h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p})\Big|_{p}}{\bar{f}_{1u}(x_{\pi})\Big|_{\pi^{-}} f_{1u}(x_{p})\Big|_{p}},$$
$$\hat{A}_{h}(x_{\pi}, x_{p})_{\pi^{-}p^{\uparrow}} \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{h}_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\pi})\Big|_{\pi^{-}} h_{1u}(x_{p})\Big|_{p}}{\bar{f}_{1u}(x_{\pi})_{\pi^{-}} f_{1u}(x_{p})_{p}}.$$

#### Assumption:

$$\frac{\bar{h}_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x)_{\pi^{-}}}{h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x)_{p}} = C_{u} \frac{\bar{f}_{1u}(x)_{\pi^{-}}}{f_{1u}(x)_{p}}$$

is consistent with the Boer's model, where

$$C_u = M_p c_\pi^u / M_\pi c_p^u$$

The simulations results show that  $C_u \simeq 1$ 

## Upper bounds on $\hat{k}$ , $h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}$ and $\hat{A}_h$

 $x_1 \simeq x_2 \simeq \sqrt{Q^2/s} \ (x_F \simeq 0)$ 

•  $(|\mathbf{k}_T|/M)h_1^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2) \le f_1(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$  (A. Bacchetta et al. PRL. 85, 712 (2000))

 $\langle k_T 
angle \simeq 0.8 \, GeV$  (A.V. Efremov et al, PLB 612, 233 (2005))

$$h_{1u}^{\perp(1)} \lesssim 0.4 f_{1u}(x).$$

Soffer inequality:

$$|h_{1u}| \le (f_{1u} + g_{1u})/2$$

Collider mode		Fixed target mode	
$h_{1u(max)}\simeq$ 2.3	$h_{1u(max)}^{\perp(1)}\simeq$ 1.2	$h_{1u(max)}\simeq 1.5$	$h_{1u(max)}^{\perp(1)} \simeq 0.8$
$\hat{k}_{(max)} \simeq 1.2$	$ \hat{A}_{h(max)}  \simeq 0.14$	$\hat{k}_{(max)} \simeq 1.4$	$ \hat{A}_{h(max)} \simeq 0.17$

#### Simulations results (unpolarized DY, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$ )

$$x \equiv x_1 \simeq x_2 \ (x_F \simeq 0 \pm 0.4)$$
  

$$h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x) = f_{1u}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\hat{k}(x,x)}{8}}$$
  

$$h_{1u(x)} = -4\sqrt{2} \frac{\hat{A}_h(x,x)}{\sqrt{\hat{k}(x,x)}} f_{1u}(x)$$



blue points: collider mode, red points: fixed target mode

TRANSVERSITY AND T-ODD PDF's at PAX, CGSWHP 2006, Tbilisi - p. 13

#### Simulation results (unpolarized DY, $\pi^- p \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$ )

$$x \equiv x_1 \simeq x_2 \ (x_F \simeq 0 \pm 0.4)$$
  

$$h_{1u}^{\perp(1)}(x) = f_{1u}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\hat{k}(x,x)}{8}}$$
  

$$h_{1u(x)} = -4\sqrt{2} \frac{\hat{A}_h(x,x)}{\sqrt{\hat{k}(x,x)}} f_{1u}(x)$$



closed circles: 60 GeV, open cirles: 100 GeV

#### Estimation of SSA $\hat{A}_h$ (single-polarized DY, $\bar{p}p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$ )



#### Estimation of SSA $\hat{A}_h$ (single-polarized DY, $\pi^- p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$ )



Left: 100 GeV ( $Q_{average}^2 = 6.2 \, GeV^2$ ), Right: 60 GeV ( $Q_{average}^2 = 5.5 \, GeV^2$ )

#### Sivers function from the single-polarized Drell-Yan

A. Efremov et al ( Phys. Lett. B612 (2005))

 $q_T$ -integrated asymmetry

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}} = \frac{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_2} \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T (|\mathbf{q}_T|/M_1) \sin(\phi-\phi_{S_2}) [d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) - d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]}{\int d\Omega d\phi_{S_2} \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T [d\sigma(\mathbf{S}_{2T}) + d\sigma(-\mathbf{S}_{2T})]}$$

As a result:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}} = 2 \frac{\sum_a e_q^2 f_{1T}^{\perp(1)q/p}(x_1) f_1^{\bar{q}/\bar{p}(\pi^-)}(x_2)}{\sum_a e_q^2 f_1^{q/p}(x_1) f_1^{\bar{q}/\bar{p}(\pi^-)}(x_2)},$$

*u*-quark dominance:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}} \simeq 2 \frac{f_{1T}^{\perp(1)u/p}(x_1) f_1^{\bar{u}/\bar{p}(\pi^-)}(x_2)}{f_1^{u/p}(x_1) f_1^{\bar{u}/\bar{p}(\pi^-)}(x_2)},$$

# Estimation of SSA $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}}$

Two fits for Sivers function extracted from the HERMES data are used:

- A. Efremov et al, Phys. Lett. B612 (2005)
- J. Collins et al, hep-ph/0511272



#### Summary

- The procedure of direct (without any model assumptions) extraction of transversity and its accompanying T-odd PDF is proposed
- Both unpolarized and single-polarized DY processes necessary to extract the quantities  $h_1$  and  $h_1^{\perp(1)}$
- The preliminary estimations performed for PAX kinematics demonstrate that it is quite real to extract both  $h_1$  and  $h_1^{\perp(1)}$  in the processes  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow l^+l^-X$  and  $\bar{p}p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+l^-X$ .
- The preliminary estimations performed for PAX kinematics demonstrate that the single-polarized Drell-Yan processes  $\bar{p}p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$  can provide us the access to the Sivers function.
- DY  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$  at COMPASS and J-PARC can provide us by:
  - Purely unpolarized DY  $\Rightarrow$  first moment of the Boer-Mulders function
  - Single-polarized DY  $\Rightarrow$  first moment of the Sivers function
  - Both unpolarized and single-polarized DY  $\Rightarrow$  transversity