

ANZOR KHELASHVILI, TEIMURAZ NADAREISHVILI
(TSU, HEPI)

PHYSICS OF SINGULAR POTENTIALS

I. Statement of problem (Schrodinger equation. Discrete Spectrum)

From the demand, that H and $P_r = -i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r}\right)$ operators are

Hermitian it follows, that [1.D.Blockincev, 2.V.Pauli, 3.A.Messia]

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R = u(0) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

For **regular** potentials

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 V = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$R = ar^l + br^{-(l+1)} \quad (1.3)$$

Second term in (1.3) doesn't obey (1.1) and is **neglected** usually
Singular potentials

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 V \rightarrow \pm\infty \quad (1.4)$$

Transition potentials

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 V \rightarrow \pm V_0 (V_0 > 0) \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem. For attractive **transition** potentials Schrodinger equation except **standard** solutions, may also have **additional** solutions. *Proof:*

$$u'' + 2m[E - V(r)]u - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u = 0; u = Rr \quad (1.6)$$

At small r from (1.6) we obtain

$$u_{r \rightarrow 0} = a_{st} r^{1/2+P} + a_{add} r^{1/2-P} = u_{st} + u_{add} \quad (1.7)$$

Where

$$P = \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - 2mV_0} \quad (1.8)$$

For

$$0 < P < 1/2 \quad (1.9)$$

Both standard and additional solutions **satisfy** (1.1) condition (For **P > 1/2** only **standard** solutions stay!)

From (1.8) and (1.9) we obtain condition of **existence** of additional states

$$l(l+1) < 2mV_0 \quad (1.10)$$

u_{add} **satisfy** requirement, that [4.-L.Schiff. Quantum mechanics.] integral from particle coordinate probability density is **finite!**

Remark:

In paragraph 35- “Falling on the center” [5-L.Landau, E.Lifchitz.

Quantum mechanics]. behavior of $R = \frac{u}{r}$ is considered at small r

$$R = Ar^{-1/2+P} + Br^{1/2-P} \quad (1.11)$$

In (1.11) for $P < 1/2$ both terms are **singular** (second term is **more singular!**) and in [6- R.Newton monograph] author notice: “If $P < 1/2$, then the second solution is irregular in sense, that it is dominant above first solution”. So R.Newton come very **close** to additional state problem, **but doesn't mention that they exist!** In [5] potential is made **regular** by cutting off it at some small r_0 and the limit $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ is taken, which **selects less singular** solution and so additional solutions are **neglected!** But if we multiple (1.11) relation on r we get (1.7) relation, where we have, **no singularity** in the $0 < P < 1/2$ region and as mentioned above standard and *additional solutions* are “equal in rights” members of (1.7) relation!

I I. Introduction of self-adjoint extension parameter

In [7-K.Case.Phys.Rev.80,797(1950); 8-K.Meetz.Nuovo Cimento 34, 690(1964); 19-A.Perelomov, V.Popov.TMF.vol 4 (1970)] was shown, that for **attractive regular and transition** potentials it isn't enough to

know potential and is **necessary** to introduce one arbitrary constant, which is equivalent to give boundary condition at the origin. Indeed, when

$$2mV_0 > (l+1/2)^2 \quad (2.1)$$

P is **complex** from (1.8), both u_{st} and u_{add} solutions have **same behavior** at the origin and for example for $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2}$ at small distances one have [5, 7]

$$u \approx A\sqrt{r} \cos\left(\sqrt{2mV_0 - (l+1/2)^2} \ln r + B\right) \quad (2.2)$$

B is arbitrary constant. On the Mathematical language it means, that H is Hermitian (symmetric), but isn't Self-adjoint operator and it is **necessary** to introduce 1 parameter to make H **Self-adjoint** ![M.Reed,B.Simon:vol 2]. As was shown in [7] if B is fixed constant, then **all** eigensolutions form a **complete orthonormal set**, and E-eigenvalues are **real**! But in this case we have“**falling**” on the center and energy isn't **bounded from below**!

In the region

$$2mV_0 < (l+1/2)^2 \quad (2.3)$$

based on the above mentioned paragraph of [5], u_{add} solutions is **neglected**. We notice above, that in the $0 < P < 1/2$ region it is **necessary** to preserve u_{add} !

Then for arbitrary E_1 and E_2 levels **ortogonality** condition is

$$m(E_2 - E_1) \int_0^\infty u_2 u_1 dr = 2P \left\{ a_1^{st} a_2^{add} - a_2^{st} a_1^{add} \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

And for **ortogonality** right side of (2.4) is zero

$$\frac{a_1^{st}}{a_1^{add}} = \frac{a_2^{st}}{a_2^{add}} \quad (2.5)$$

So, we get it is **necessary** to introduce **self-adjoint τ extension parameter**

$$\tau = \frac{a_{add}}{a_{st}} \quad (2.6)$$

From (1.7) and (2.6) we have:

- a) $a_{add} = 0 (\tau = 0)$. We **retain only standard levels**
- b) $a_{st} = 0 (\tau = \pm\infty)$. We **retain only additional levels**
- c) When $\tau \neq \pm\infty, 0$, then **both levels exist at the same time!**

For some **unknown reasons** the **Nature choose only standard levels yet! We think,**
that other cases are also possible!

I I.I Model of Valent electron

$$V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2} - \frac{\alpha}{r}; V_0, \alpha > 0 \quad (3.1)$$

This potential “**naturally**” appears for coulomb potential in the Klein-Gordon equation. Following [12-W.Krolikowski; Bulletin De L’academics polonaise Vol XVII.83(1979);13-A.A.Khelashvili,T.P.Nadareishvili, Bulletin of Georgian Acad.Sci:Vol 164.no1(2001)] we obtain general solution for (3.1) potential

$$u = C_1 \rho^{1/2+P} e^{-\rho/2} F(1/2+P-\lambda, 1+2P; \rho) + C_2 e^{1/2-P} e^{-\rho/2} F(1/2-P-\lambda, 1-2P; \rho) \quad (3.2)$$

Where P is given again by (1.8) and

$$\rho = \sqrt{-8mE} \cdot r; \lambda = \frac{2m\alpha}{\sqrt{-8mE}}; E < 0 \quad (3.3)$$

we get **transcendental equation for E**

$$\frac{\Gamma(1/2-\lambda-P)}{\Gamma(1/2-\lambda+P)} = -\frac{\tau}{(-8mE)^P} \frac{\Gamma(1-2P)}{\Gamma(1+2P)} \quad (3.4)$$

where SAE parameter τ is

$$\tau = \frac{C_2}{C_1} \frac{1}{(-mE)^P} \quad (3.5)$$

E depends on τ parameter. For $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \pm\infty$ we obtain standard and additional levels analitically

$$E_{st,add} = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2[1/2 + n_r \pm P]^2} = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\left[1/2 + n_r \pm \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - 2mV_0}\right]^2} \quad (3.6)$$

$n_r = 0, 1, 2$

Remark: For $V_0 < 0$ in (3.1), we get **Kratzer Molecular** potential and we obtain for standard levels well known formula, but in this case **isn't fulfilled (1.10) condition** and so we have **no additional levels for Kratzer potential**.

For **alkaline metal atoms (Li,Na,K,Rb,Cs)** is used (3.1) potential [14-S.Frish .Optical specra of atoms;15 –M.Eliashevich.Atomic and molecular spectroscopy].Spectra of this atoms is **similar hydrogen atom spectra**

$$E_{n'} = -R \frac{1}{n'^2} \quad (3.7)$$

Where R is Rydberg constant and n' is **effective principal number**

$$n' = n_r + l' + 1 \quad (3.8)$$

And l' is defined from

$$l'(l' + 1) = l(l + 1) - 8mV_0 \quad (3.9)$$

For l' is taken only + sign in front of root P [12,13]

$$l' = -1/2 + P = -1/2 + \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - 2mV_0} \quad (3.10)$$

So up to now **wasn't considered** additional levels (- sign in front of root). Then in [13] the root is expand is expand for **small V_0**

$$E_{st} = -R \frac{1}{(n + \Delta_l^{st})^2}; n = n_r + l + 1 \quad (3.11)$$

Where Δ_l^{st} is Rydberg correction (quantum defect)

$$\Delta_l^{st} = -\frac{2mV_0}{2l+1} \quad (3.12)$$

For E_{add} we can't take small V_0 , because $l(l+1) < 2mV_0$. So for E_{st} at $V_0 \rightarrow 0$ one gets hydrogen atom spectra; E_{add} exist only for "strong" values of V_0 !

So it is expectable, that in the Model of Valent electron, beside the well known E_{st} levels, may also exist E_{add} and (3.4) transcendental equation levels.

Remark: Our formalism works everywhere, where (3.1) potentials works: for excited (Rydberg) atoms, for alkaline isoelectronic ions and etc.

I V . Singular (Spiked) Oscillator model

$$V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2} + gr^2; V_0, g > 0 \quad (4.1)$$

Use: Calogero model, Fractional statistics and anyons, Quantum Hall effect, Spin chains, Two dimensional QCD.

$$\frac{\Gamma(-1/4\sqrt{2m/g}E + 1/2 - P/2)}{\Gamma(-1/4\sqrt{2m/g}E + 1/2 + P/2)} = -\frac{\tau}{(2mg)^{P/2}} \frac{\Gamma(1-P)}{\Gamma(1+P)} \quad (4.2)$$

□

For $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \pm\infty$ we get standard and additional levels

$$E_{st,add} = 2\sqrt{g/2m} \{2n_r + 1 \pm P\}; n_r = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.3)$$

Remark: For $V = -V_0/r^2 + W(r)$ potential (where W is regular potential) we can define generally quantum defect by

$\Delta_l^{st} = P - (l + 1/2)$ as a deviation from $W(r)$, because when $V_0 = 0$, then $P=1+1/2$ and $\Delta_l^{st} = 0$.

V. Scattering Problems (Continuous Spectrum).

$$V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2}; V_0 > 0 \quad (5.1)$$

This interaction is realized in nature- physical applications: .

- 1). Charge interacting with a point dipole [14H.Camblong...Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 220402 (2001)]
- 2). Interaction of a neutral, but polarizable atom with a charged wire [15-J.Denschlag; Phys.Rev.Lett.81.737. (1998)]
- 3). Aaronov-Bom effect [16 –J.Audretsh...J.Phys.A28,2359 (1995)].
- 4). Black holes [Gupta,Shabad...]

$$U_k(r) = \sqrt{kr} \{A(k)J_p(kr) + B(k)J_{-p}(kr)\}; k^2 = 2mE; E > 0 \quad (5.2)$$

In (5.2) for $0 < P < 1/2$ $\sqrt{r}J_{-p}(kr)$ is regular at the origin and we keep it!

a). Introduction of SAE parameter

$$I = \int_0^\infty r^2 R_{k'}^*(r) R_k(r) dr = 2\pi\delta(k' - k); R_k = \frac{u_k}{r} \quad (5.3)$$

We use integrals from [17-J.Audretsch.J.Phys.A34,235 (2001)] for Bessels functions and get

$$I = \{AA^* + BB^* + (B^*A + A^*B)\cos\pi P\} \delta(k' - k) + \frac{2\sin\pi P}{\pi(k^2 - k'^2)} \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{k'}\right)^P B^*(k')A(k) - \left(\frac{k}{k'}\right)^{-P} A^*(k')B(k) \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\frac{B^*(k')}{A^*(k')} (k')^{-2P} = \frac{B(k)}{A(k)} k^{-2P} = \tau_P$$

(5.5)

(5.5) is analog of (2.5) for continuous spectrum.

From (5.4) and (5.5) we get

$$AA^* \left[\tau_p^2 k^{4P} + 2\tau_p k^{2P} \cos \pi P + 1 \right] = 2\pi$$

(5.6)

Based on the **methodology** of [17] and [18 - S.Alliluev.JETP.Vol 61,p15 (1970)] articles, where is considered

$$I = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R u_{k'}^*(r) u_k(r) = \frac{1}{k'^2 - k^2} \left[u_{k'}^* \frac{du_k}{dr} - u_k \frac{du_{k'}}{dr} \right]_0^R \quad (5.7)$$

One can show, that τ parameter is introduced from the **lower** limit of the (5.7) integral as it was for the bound states and (5.6) is introduced from the **upper** limit of this integral (For bound states wave function **decrease** at large distances and we **no analog** of (5.6) relation).

b).Phase Shifts Calculation.

$$u_k(r) = \sqrt{kr} \left\{ A(k) + B(k)N_p(kr) \right\}$$

(5.8) in (5.8) Second term is **regular** at the origin for $0 < P < 1/2$ and we **keep it!**

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} R = \frac{C}{r} \sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta_l); R = \frac{u}{r}$$

(5.9)

$$\delta_p = [l + 1/2 - P]\pi/2 - \text{arctg} B/A$$

(5.10)

or using (5.5) definition of SA parameter we get:

$$\delta_p = [l + 1/2 - P]\pi/2 - \text{arctg}(\tau_p k^{2P}) \quad (5.11)$$

In the literature is known only **first** term [17.A.M.Perelomov; V.S.Popov.TMF. Vol 4.No1(1970)]

$$\text{a). } B=0; \quad \tau_p = 0; \delta_p^{st} = [l + 1/2 - P]\pi/2 \quad (5.12)$$

$$\text{b). } A=0; \quad \tau_p = \pm\infty; \delta_p^{add} = \delta_l^{st} \pm \pi/2 \quad (5.13)$$

+ sign in (5.13) is **excluded**, comparing it with asymptotic expression

$$N_p(kr) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} \sin(kr - P\pi/2 - \pi/4)$$

Remarks: 1. From (5.11) we see that δ_p is depended on the energy ($k^2 = 2mE$) for $\tau_p \neq 0, \infty$, so **scale invariance** is **violated!**

2. We considered $V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2}$ **attractive** potential, for which $\delta_p > 0$. As one see from (5.11) may be $\delta_p < 0$ or we get **repulsive** potential! So τ_p parameter **may change the NATURE of potential!** We have **two** possibilities: **a).** From the **Physical motivation restrict** τ_p parameter (Don't **change** attractive potential by repulsive!) or as one see from (5.11) **demand**

$$[l + 1/2 - P]\pi/2 - \text{arctg}(\tau_p k^{2P}) > 0$$

b). Agree, that $\tau_p > 0$ **can change** potential nature!

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta)|^2; f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)(S_l - 1)P_l(\cos\theta) \quad (5.14)$$

$$S_l = e^{2i\delta_l} \quad (5.15)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sin^2 \delta_l \quad (5.16)$$

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l P_l(\cos\theta) \quad (5.17)$$

$$f_l = \frac{1}{2ik} (S_l - 1) = \frac{1}{2ik} (e^{2i\delta_l} - 1) \quad (5.18)$$

$$\sigma_l = 4\pi(2l+1)|f_l|^2 \quad (5.19)$$

Remarks: 1. $l(l+1) < 2mV_0$ (1.10). So in (5.14 – 5.19) one need **SAE** for l , which satisfy (1.10). ($l=0$ **always** satisfy it!).

2. **Total** cross section σ is **infinite** for $V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2}$ in **usual** quantum

mechanics ($\tau = 0$). We show, that for $A=0$ ($\tau=+\infty$) and **small k** σ is again **infinite**, but in general case, when

$\delta_p = [l + 1/2 - P]\pi/2 - \text{arctg}(\tau_p k^{2P}) - \sigma$ can become **finite!** This problem needs more careful investigation!

VI. Scattering length a

In [17] is calculated **scattering length a** for the following potential in the $l=0$ state

$$V(r) = -\frac{V_0}{r^2} \theta(R-r) \quad (6.1)$$

We now obtain **more general** formula using **SAE**. When $r < R$ wave function is

$$\chi = \begin{cases} Ar^{1/2+P} + Br^{1/2-P}; 2mV_0 < 1/4; P = \sqrt{1/4 - 2mV_0} \\ r^{1/2} \sin(\nu \ln r + \gamma_0); 2mV_0 > 1/4; \nu = \sqrt{2mV_0 - 1/4} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

γ_0 is **SAE** parameter, when one have “**falling**” on the center and is **known** in the literature [5,17]

For $r > R$

$$\chi_0 = C(r-a) \quad (6.3)$$

“Sewing” condition at $r=R$ gives

$$a = -R \frac{(1-2P)AR^P + BR^{-P}(1+2P)}{(1+2P)AR^P + BR^{-P}(1-2P)}; 2mV_0 < 1/4 \quad (6.4)$$

$$a = -R \frac{1 - 2\nu \text{ctg}(\nu \ln R - \gamma_0)}{1 + 2\nu \text{ctg}(\nu \ln R - \gamma_0)}; 2mV_0 > 1/4 \quad (6.5)$$

When $B=0$ we get [17] article formula

$$a = -R \frac{1-2P}{1+2P} \quad (6.6)$$

As $P < 1/2$, $a < 0$ and it corresponds to **attractive** potential, but from (6.4) a have **no definite sign**- we **can't say** one have **attractive** or

repulsive interaction!

SAE now we define

$$\tau = -A/B \quad (6.7)$$

$$a = \frac{a_1\tau + b_1}{a_2\tau + b_2} \quad (6.8)$$

$$a_1 = -(1-2P)R^{1+P}; b_1 = (1+2P)R^{1-P} \quad (6.9)$$

$$a_2 = (1+2P)R^P; b_2 = -(1-2P)R^{-P}$$

In the region

$$\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_2 \quad (6.10)$$

where

$$\tau_1 = \frac{1-2P}{1+2P} \frac{1}{R^P}; \tau_2 = \frac{1+2P}{1-2P} \frac{1}{R^{2P}}$$

$a > 0$ and we have repulsive interaction! $V(r) = -\frac{V_0}{r^2} \theta(R-r)$ is

attractive potential and τ parameter from (6.10) region can change it NATURE! Again one have two alternatives : a). From the physical motivation exclude (6.10) region. b). Agree that τ can change interaction nature!

Remarks: 1). We expand (6.4) and (6.5) near $2mV_0 = 1/4$ and get relation between τ and γ_0

$$2ctg\gamma_0 = \frac{\tau + 1}{\tau - 1} \quad (6.11)$$

2). $\sigma_{tot} = 4\pi a^2(\tau) = \sigma(\tau)$ depends on ! $\sigma > 0$ demand restrict τ !

VII.Scattering effective radius ($2mV_0 < 1/4$)

$$r_0 = 2 \int_0^{\infty} [u_0^2(r) - \chi_0^2(r)] dr \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$u_0 = C(r - a) \quad (7.2)$$

$$\chi_0 = \begin{cases} Ar^{1/2+P} + Br^{1/2-P}; r < R \\ C(r - a); r > R \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

$$r_0 = 2/3C^2 \left\{ (R - a)^3 + a^3 \right\} - D^2 \left\{ \frac{\tau^2}{2(p+1)} R^{2P+2} - \frac{R^{2(1-P)}}{2(1-P)} + \tau R^2 \right\}$$

$$D = \frac{C(R - a)}{R^{1/2-P} - \tau R^{1/2+P}} \quad (7.4)$$

$r_0 > 0$ demand restrict τ !

VIII. Modification of Rutherford formula

For Model of valence electron (3.1) potential for scattering case we get

$$u = C_1 \rho^{1/2+P} e^{-\rho/2} F(1/2 + P - \lambda, 1 + 2P; \rho) + C_2 \rho^{1/2-P} e^{-\rho/2} F(1/2 - P - \lambda, 1 - 2P; \rho) \quad (8.1)$$

Where P is given again by (1.8) and

$$\rho = 2ikr; \lambda = -i \frac{m\alpha}{k} = -i\eta; E > 0; k = \sqrt{2mE}; \eta = \frac{m\alpha}{k}; \quad (8.2)$$

SAE parameter is

$$\tau = \frac{C_2}{C_1} (2ik)^{-2P} \quad (8.3)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u = \sin \left[kr + \eta \ln 2kr - (P - 1/2)\pi/2 - \delta_{coul}^{st} + \delta_P \right] \quad (8.4)$$

Where

$$\delta_{coul}^{st} = \arg \Gamma(1/2 + P - \lambda) \quad (8.5)$$

$$\delta_P = \arctg \Psi; \Psi = \tau_P (2k)^{2P} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2P) |\Gamma(1/2 + P - \lambda)|}{\Gamma(1 + 2P) |\Gamma(1/2 - P - \lambda)|} \quad (8.6)$$

$$\delta = \delta_P - \delta_{coul}^{st} + [l + 1/2 - P] \quad (8.7)$$

$$S_P = e^{i\pi[l+1/2-P]} \frac{\Gamma(1/2 + P + \lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2 - P - \lambda)} e^{2i \arctg \Psi} \quad (8.9)$$

$$S = S_P^{st} \frac{1+i\Psi}{1-i\Psi} \quad (8.10)$$

$i\psi = 1$ is pole!

And we again obtain (3.4) transcendental equation for bound states.

$$l(l+1) < 2mV_0 \quad (1.10)$$

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{[-1/2+\sqrt{1/4+2mV_0}]} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\theta) \left[S_P^{st} \frac{1+i\Psi}{1-i\Psi} \right] \right\} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\theta) [S_P^{st} - 1]$$

(8.11)

$$f(\theta) = f_{SAE} + f_{VE} \quad (8.12)$$

When $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$, in the (8.9) leading term is f_{SAE} and for small V_0 is f_{VE}

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta)|^2 = |f_{VE}|^2 + |f_{SAE}|^2 + 2\text{Re} f_{VE}^* f_{SAE} \quad (8.13)$$

$$P = \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - 2mV_0} \approx (2l+1) - \frac{2mV_0}{2l+1} \quad (8.14)$$

We keep in this case in f_{SAE} only $l=0$ term and get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\eta^2 k^2 \sin^4 \theta / 2} & \left[1 + \frac{2(\pi V_0)^2 m^3}{E} \sin^2 \theta - 2\pi m V_0 \sqrt{2m/E} \cos 2(\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_0) \right] + \\ & \frac{\sin^2 \delta_{SAE}^0}{k^2} - \eta \frac{2 \sin \delta_{SAE}^0}{k^3 \sin^2 \theta} [\cos(\eta \ln \sin^2 \theta / 2 - 2\sigma_0 - 2\pi m V_0 - \delta_{SAE}^0) - \\ & \pi m V_0 \sqrt{2m/E} \sin \theta \cos(\eta \ln \sin^2 \theta / 2 - 2\pi m V_0 - \delta_{SAE}^0 - 2\sigma_{-1/2})] \quad (8.13) \end{aligned}$$

where the first term is **usual Rutherford** formula modified for VE model last two terms are **caused by SAE** procedure and are **similar** to the **short range interactions**. So SAE can again play a role in **potential nature!** This formalism can be used also for, π^- scattering, where is used Klein-Gordon equation.

VIII. Concluding remarks. Summary

1. **Our main result:** We show, that for $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 V = -V_0$ ($V_0 > 0$)

potentials in the region $(l + 1/2)^2 > 2mV_0$ (no “falling onto center!”)

it **is necessary to keep** second additional solution in the $0 < P < 1/2$ interval (We have our variant of Landau mentioned paragraph!)

and it is **also necessary to** introduce **self-adjoint extension** τ parameter. in **both** bound states and scattering problems.

2. Physical quantities E, a, r_0, σ **depend** on τ parameter and **by this**

reason physical picture is **different** then in **usual** quantum

mechanics! (As was mentioned above SAE **can change nature** of

potential, δ_p became **energy dependent** for $V = -\frac{V_0}{r^2}$ potential and

so on.

We have three possibilities:

1). It should be found another **strong requirement** in the quantum mechanic mathematical formalism, which “**destroys**” additional states!

2) If it isn't possible, try to “**struggle**” against τ parameter **by physical demands: $r_0 > 0, \sigma > 0$, don't change physical nature of interaction** and so on.

3). **Admit** SAE existence and find **new** levels, and so on. And now it **stay open** the following question: Why the **NATURE** “**select**” **only standard states** ($\tau = 0$)?!